arXiv:1006.2447v1 [math.OA] 12 Jun 2010

# Unital Full Amalgamated Free Products of MF Algebras

Qihui Li Junhao Shen*

June 15, 2010

**Abstract**

In the paper, we consider the question whether a unital full free product of MF algebras with amalgamation over a finite dimensional C*-algebra is an MF algebra. First, we show that, under a natural condition, a unital full free product of two separable residually finite dimensional (RFD) C*-algebras with amalgamation over a finite dimensional C*-algebra is again a separable RFD C*-algebra. Applying this result on MF C*-algebras, we show that, under a natual condition, a unital full free product of two MF algebras is again an MF algebra. As an application, we show that a unital full free product of two AF algebras with amalgamation over an AF algebra is an MF algebra if there are faithful tracial states on each of these two AF algebras such that the restricitions on the common subalgebra agree.

## 1 Introduction

The concept of MF algebras was first introduced by Blackadar and Kirchberg in [3]. If a separable C*- algebra $\mathcal{A}$ can be embedded into $\prod_{k} \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called an MF algebra. Many properties of MF algebras were discussed in [3]. For example, it was shown there that an inductive limit of MF algebras is an MF algebra and every subalgebra of an MF algebra is an MF algebra. This class of C*-algebras is of interest for many reasons. For example, it plays an important role in the classification of C*-algebras and it is connected to the question whether the Ext semigroup, in the sense of Brown, Douglas and Fillmore, of a unital C*-algebra is a group (see the striking result of Haagerup and Thorbjørnsen on $Ext(C_r^*(F_2))$). This notion is also closely connected to Voiculescu's topological free entropy dimension for a family of self-adjoint elements $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ in a unital C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ [26].

---

*The research of second author is partially sponsored by an NSF grant.

The class of MF algebras contains all residually finite-dimensional C*-algebras and quasidiagonal C*-algebras. Recall that a C*-algebra is said to be residually finite-dimensional (RFD) if it has a separating family of finite-dimensional representations. In [8], Choi showed that the full C*-algebra of the free group on two generators is RFD. Later, in [12], Exel and Loring showed that the unital full free product of two unital RFD C*-algebras is RFD. In the same paper they gave several equivalent conditions for the RFD property. In [1], Armstrong, Dykema, Exel and Li characterized the RFD property of unital full amalgamated free products of finite dimensional C*-algebras, which extends an earlier result by Brown and Dykema in [5].

Quasidiagonal operators on separable Hilbert spaces were defined by P. R. Halmos [21] as compact perturbations of block-diagonal operators. A generalized notion of quasidiagonal operators to sets of operators is the concept of quasidiagonal sets of operators. A C*-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is quasidiagonal (QD) if there is a faithful representation $\rho$ such that $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is a quasidiagonal set of operators. This class of C*-algebras has been studied for more than 30 years. In [4], it has been shown that a full free product of two unital QD C*-algebras amalgamated over units is QD.

In this paper, we consider the question whether a unital full amalgamated free product of two RFD C*-algebras, or two MF algebras, with amalgamation over a common C*-algebra is, again, an RFD C*-algebra, or an MF algebra respectively. One example (see Example 2.1) is given to show that the answer to this general question is no. Under natural restrictions, we are able to provide an affirmative answer when we consider a unital full free product of two MF algebras (or two RFD C*-algebras, two QD C*-algebras) with amalgamation over a full matrix algebra. Our main results about unital RFD C*-algebras are as follows:

**Theorem 4.1** Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ be unital RFD algebras and $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite-dimensional C*-algebra. Let $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}$ be unital embeddings. Let $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ be the corresponding unital full amalgamated free product. Then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is RFD if and only if there is a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers with unital embeddings $q_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{B} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, hook, "\psi_{\mathcal{A}}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{\mathcal{B}}"'] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, hook, "q_2"] & \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

**Corollary 4.1** Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is RFD and $\mathcal{D}$ is a unital finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{A}$ is RFD.

**Theorem 5.1** Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital MF-algebras and $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite-dimensional C*-algebra. Let $\psi_1 : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi_2 : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}$ be unital embeddings. Then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra if and only if there is a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$

of integers with unital embeddings $q_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{B} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, hook, "\psi_{\mathcal{A}}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{\mathcal{B}}"'] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, hook, "q_2"] & \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

When the common C*-algebra $\mathcal{D}$ is an AF algebra, we obtain following results.

**Theorem 5.3** Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}$ are unital inclusions of unital MF algebras where $\mathcal{D}$ is an AF algebra. Then the unital full free product $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with amalgamation over $\mathcal{D}$ is an MF algebra if and only if there is a sequence of positive integers $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] & \prod\limits_{n} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_n \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

**Theorem 5.4** Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}$ are unital inclusions of AF C*-algebras. If there are faithful tracial states $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively, such that

$$\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(x), \qquad \forall\ x \in \mathcal{D},$$

then $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra.

**Corollary 5.2** Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ are unital inclusions of C*-algebras where $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are UHF algebras and $\mathcal{D}$ is an AF algebra. Then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra if and only if

$$\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(z) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \text{ for each } z \in \mathcal{D},$$

where $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ are faithful tracial states on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively.

A brief overview of this paper is as follows. In Section 2, we recall the definitions of MF algebras and unital full amalgamated free product of unital C*-algebas. One example is given at the end of the section to show that a unital full amalgamated free product of unital MF (or RFD, QD) algebras may not be MF (or RFD, QD) again. Section 3 contains definitions and some basic properties of quasidiagonal operators, quasidiagonal sets of operators and QD C*-algebras. This section is designed to make the paper self-contained. Section 4 is devoted to results on the full amalgamated free products of unital RFD C*-algebras. In Section 5, we first consider unital full free products of unital MF algebras with amalgamation over finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras. Then we consider the case when a common unital C*-subalgebra is an infinite-dimensional C*-algebra. More precisely, we consider a case when the common unital C*-subalgebra is an AF algebra.

# 2 Definitions and Preliminaries

## 2.1 Noncommutative polynomials

In this article, we always assume that all C*-algebras are unital separable C*-algebras. We use notation C*$(x_1, x_{2,} \cdots)$ to denote the unital C*-algebra generated by $\{x_1, x_2, \cdots\}$. Let $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n \rangle$ be the set of all noncommutative polynomials in the indeterminants $\mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n$. Let $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q} + i\mathbb{Q}$ denote the complex-rational numbers, i.e., the numbers whose real and imaginary parts are rational. Then the set $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{X}_n \rangle$ of noncommutative polynomials with complex-rational coefficients is countable. Throughout this paper we write

$$\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots \rangle = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots \mathbf{X}_m \rangle$$

and

$$\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots \rangle = \cup_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots \mathbf{X}_m \rangle.$$

Let $\{P_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ be the collection of all noncommutative polynomials in $\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots \rangle$ with rational complex coefficients.

## 2.2 Blackadar and Kirchberg's MF Algebras

We will recall an equivalent definition of MF algebras and some basic properties. Let us fix notations first. We assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable complex Hilbert space and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is the set of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose $\{x, x_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is a family of elements in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say $x_k \to x$ in $*$-SOT ($*$-strong operator topology) if and only if $x_k \to x$ in SOT and $x_k^* \to x^*$ in SOT. Suppose $\{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$ and $\{x_1^{(k)}, \ldots, x_n^{(k)}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ are families of elements in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$. We say

$$\langle x_1^{(k)}, \ldots, x_n^{(k)} \rangle \to \langle x_1, \ldots, x_n \rangle \ in\ *\text{-}SOT, \quad as\ k \to \infty$$

if and only if

$$x_i^{(k)} \to x_i \ in\ *\text{-}SOT, \quad as\ k \to \infty, \quad \forall\ 1 \le i \le n.$$

Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a separable unital C*-algebra on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{H}^{\infty} = \oplus_{\mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}$ and, for any $x \in \mathcal{A}$, let $x^{\infty}$ be the element $\oplus_{\mathbb{N}} x = (x, x, x, \ldots)$ in $\prod_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{A}^{(k)} \subset B(\mathcal{H}^{\infty})$, where $\mathcal{A}^{(k)}$ is the $k$-th copy of $\mathcal{A}$.

Suppose $\{\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a sequence of complex matrix algebras. We introduce the C*-direct product $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ of $\{\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ as follows:

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) = \{(Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \mid \forall\, n \ge 1,\, Y_n \in \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(C) \text{ and } \|(Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\| = \sup_{n \ge 1} \|Y_n\| < \infty\}.$$

Furthermore, we can introduce a norm-closed two sided ideal in $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ as follows:

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) = \left\{ (Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty} \in \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) : \lim_{n \to \infty} \|Y_n\| = 0 \right\}.$$

Let $\pi$ be the quotient map from $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ to $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})/\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$. Then

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})/\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$$

is a unital C*-algebra. If we denote $\pi\left((Y_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}\right)$ by $[(Y_n)_n]$, then

$$\|[(Y_n)_n]\| = \limsup_{n\to\infty}\|Y_n\|.$$

Now we are ready to recall an equivalent definition of MF algebras given by Blackadar and Kirchberg [3].

**Definition 2.1** *(Theorem 3.2.2, [3]) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable C*-algebra. If $\mathcal{A}$ can be embedded as a C*-subalgebra of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})/\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers, then $\mathcal{A}$ is called an MF algebra.*

The following Theorem is one of the key ingredients for showing our main results in this paper.

**Theorem 2.1** *(Theorem 5.1.2, [15]) Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital C*-algebra generated by a sequence of self-adjoint elements $x_1, x_2, \cdots$ in $\mathcal{A}$. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *$\mathcal{A}$ is an MF algebra*
2. *For each $n\in\mathbb{N}$, there are a sequence of positive integers $\{m_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and self-adjoint matrices $A_1^{(k)},\ldots,A_n^{(k)}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{m_k}^{s.a.}(\mathbb{C})$ for $k=1,2,\ldots$, such that, $\forall\ P\in\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\ldots,X_n\rangle$,*

   $$\lim_{k\to\infty}\|P(A_1^{(k)},\ldots,A_n^{(k)})\| = \|P(x_1,\ldots,x_n)\|,$$

   *where $\mathbb{C}\langle X_1,\ldots,X_n\rangle$ is the set of all noncommutative polynomials in the indeterminants $X_1,\ldots,X_n$.*

The examples of MF algebras contain all finite dimensional C*-algebras, AF (approximately finite dimensional) algebras and quasidiagonal C*-algebras. In [14], Haagerup and Thorbj$\phi$rnsen showed that $C_r^*(F_n)$ is an MF algebra for $n\geq 2$. For more examples of MF algebras, we refer the reader to [3] and [19].

## 2.3 Definition of Full Amalgamated Free Products of Unital C*-algebras

Recall the definition of full amalgamated free product of unital C*-algebras as follows.

**Definition 2.2** *Given C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ with unital embeddings (injective $*$ -homomorphisms) $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}$, the corresponding full amalgamated free product C*-algebra is the C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$, equipped with unital embeddings $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{C}$ and $\sigma_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{C}$ such that $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{A}} = \sigma_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{B}}$, such that $\mathcal{C}$ is generated by $\sigma_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A}) \cup \sigma_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ and satisfying the universal property that whenever $\mathcal{E}$ is a C*-algebra and $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{E}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{E}$ are $*$-homomorphisms satisfying $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}} \circ \psi_{\mathcal{B}}$, there is a $*$-homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{C} \to \mathcal{E}$ such that $\pi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{A}} = \pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi \circ \sigma_{\mathcal{B}} = \pi_{\mathcal{B}}$. The full amalgamated free product C*-algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is commonly denoted by $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$.*

When $D = \mathbb{C}I$, the above definition is the unital full free product $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. The following theorem is certainly well-known, and we will give its proof for the purpose of completeness.

**Theorem 2.2** *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are unital C*-algebras. Then*

$$(\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}) \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} (\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}) \cong \left(\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{*} \mathcal{B}\right) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}.$$

**Proof.** From the definition of unital full free product, we can get two natural unital embeddings

$$\pi_1 : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \to \left(\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{*} \mathcal{B}\right) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$$

and

$$\pi_2 : \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \to \left(\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{*} \mathcal{B}\right) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$$

from $\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$ into $\left(\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{*} \mathcal{B}\right) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D}$ respectively. It is clear that the restrictions of $\pi_1$ and $\pi_2$ on $I \otimes \mathcal{D}$ agree, i.e., $\pi_1|_{I \otimes \mathcal{D}} = \pi_2|_{I \otimes \mathcal{D}}$. Suppose $\mathcal{K}$ is a C*-algebra acting on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ such that there are two *-homomorphisms $q_1 : \mathcal{A} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{K}$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{B} \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{K}$ satisfying $q_1|_{I \otimes \mathcal{D}} = q_2|_{I \otimes \mathcal{D}}$. It implies that $q_1(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{I})$ commutes with $q_1(I \otimes \mathcal{D})$ in $\mathcal{K}$ and $q_2(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{I})$ commutes with $q_2(I \otimes \mathcal{D})$ in $\mathcal{K}$. Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{K} \cap (q_1(1 \otimes \mathcal{D}))' = \mathcal{K} \cap (q_2(1 \otimes \mathcal{D}))'$. Since $q_1(\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{I})$ and $q_2(\mathcal{B} \otimes \mathcal{I})$ are subalgebras of $\mathcal{M}$, there is a *-homomorphism $\widetilde{q} : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{*} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}$ by the definition of unital full free product. Moreover, the image $\widetilde{q}(\mathcal{A} *_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B})$ of $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{B}$ under $\widetilde{q}$ commutes with $q_1(I \otimes \mathcal{D})$ in $\mathcal{K}$. From the definition of maximal C*-norm on tensor product of two C*-algebras, there is a *-homomorphism

$$q : \left(\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathbb{C}}{*} \mathcal{B}\right) \otimes_{\max} \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{K}.$$

such that $q \circ \pi_1 = q_1$ and $q \circ \pi_2 = q_2$. The desired conclusion now follows from the definition of full amalgamated free products of unital C*-algebras. ■

Combining the following Theorem and preceding result, we are able to obtain our first result about unital full amalgamated free product of MF algebras, that is Proposition 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3** *(Theorem 5.1.4., [15]) Suppose $\{A_i : i \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a countable family of separable MF C*-algebras. Then the unital full free product $\mathcal{A} = *_{\mathbb{C}} \mathcal{A}_i$ is an MF algebra.*

**Proposition 2.1** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be separable unital C*-algebras. If $\mathcal{D}$ can be embedded as a unital C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively, and $\mathcal{D}$ is *-isomorphic to a full matrix algebra $\mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ for some integer $n$, then the full amalgamated free product $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both MF.*

**Proof.** If $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is a unital MF algebra, then it is easy to see that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are MF. On the other hand, since $\mathcal{D}$ is *-isomorphic to a full matrix algebra, from Lemma 6.6.3 in [22], it follows that $\mathcal{A} \cong \mathcal{A}' \otimes \mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{B} \cong \mathcal{B}' \otimes \mathcal{D}$ where $\mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ are C*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Therefore $\mathcal{A}'$ and $\mathcal{B}'$ are MF as well. Then the desired conclusion follows from Theorem 2.3 and 2.2. ■

Recall that a separable C*-algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is said to be residually finite-dimensional (RFD) if for each $x \in \mathcal{R}$ there exists a *-homomorphism $\pi : \mathcal{R} \to \mathcal{B}$ such that $\dim(\mathcal{B}) < \infty$ and $\pi(x) \neq 0$. It is easy to see that such algebras have a faithful representation whose image is a block diagonal set of operators. The Proposition 2.1 is stated for unital MF algebras, but same conclusion holds when we consider unital RFD C*-algebras or unital quasidiagonal C*-algebras (we will recall the definition of quasidiagonal C*-algebra later).

**Lemma 2.1** *(Theorem 4.2.,[1]) Consider unital inclusions of C*-algebras $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ with $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ finite dimensional. Let $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ be the corresponding full amalgamated free product. Then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is residually finite dimensional if and only if there are faithful tracial states $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{B}$ whose restrictions on $\mathcal{D}$ agree.*

**Remark 2.1** *Combining Lemma 2.1 and the fact that each RFD C*-algebra has a faithful tracial state, it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is RFD if and only if $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ has a faithful tracial state.*

In [12], Exel and Loring showed that the unital full free product of two RFD C*-algebra is RFD, which extends an earlier result by Choi in [8]. In [4], Boca showed that the unital full free product of two quasidiagonal C*-algebras is also quasidiagonal. The analogous result for MF algebras is given in [15]. Lemma 2.1 characterizes when a full amalgamated free product of finite dimensional C*-algebras is RFD, which extends an earlier result by Brown and Dykema in [5]. So from Proposition 2.1 and the above results, it is natural to ask whether a full amalgamated free product of unital MF (or RFD, quasidiagonal) algebras is always MF (or RFD, quasidiagonal). For the case when $\mathcal{D}$ is *-isomorphic to a full matrix algebra, we know that $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is MF (or RFD, quasidiagonal) if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both MF (or RFD, quasidiagonal) by Proposition 2.1. But the following example shows that a full amalgamated free product of two MF (or RFD, quasidiagonal) algebras may not be MF (or RFD, quasidiagonal) again, even for two full matrix algebras with amalgamation over the two dimensional C*-algebra $\mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}$.

**Example 2.1** *Let* $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}\oplus\mathbb{C}$. *Suppose* $\varphi_1 : \mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\varphi_2 : \mathcal{D}\to\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *are unital embeddings such that*

$$\varphi_1(1\oplus 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \varphi_2(1\oplus 0) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

*Then* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *is not an MF algebra (therefore it is not RFD or quasidiagonal). Actually, if we assume that* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *is an MF algebra, then there exists a tracial state* $\tau$ *on* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$. *So the restrictions of* $\tau$ *on* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *are the unique tracial states on* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *respectively. It follows that* $\tau(\varphi_1(1\oplus 0)) = \frac{1}{2} \neq \tau\ (\varphi_2(1\oplus 0)) = \frac{1}{3}$ *which contradicts to the fact that* $\varphi_1(1\oplus 0) = \varphi_2(0\oplus 1)$ *in* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$. *Therefore* $\mathcal{M}_2(\mathbb{C}) \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{M}_3(\mathbb{C})$ *is not MF.*

## 3 Basic Properties of Quasidiagonal Algebras

The proof of one of our main theorems is based on the understanding of quasidiagonal C*-algebras. Therefore, we will recall some results about quasidiagonal C*-algebras for the reader's convenience, but we will not give their proofs. We refer the reader to [6] for a comprehensive treatment of this important class of C*-algebras.

**Definition 3.1** *A subset* $\Omega\subset\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *is called a* quasidiagonal set of operators *if for each finite set* $\mathcal{S}\subset\Omega$, *finite set* $F\subset\mathcal{H}$ *and* $\varepsilon>0$ *there exists a finite rank projection* $P\in\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *such that* $\|SP-PS\|\le\varepsilon$ *and* $\|Px-x\|\le\varepsilon$ *for all* $S\in\mathcal{S}$ *and* $x\in F$.

**Definition 3.2** *A C*-algebra* $\mathcal{A}$ *is called* quasidiagonal (QD) *if there exists a faithful representation* $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *such that* $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ *is a quasidiagonal set of operators.*

**Definition 3.3** *Let* $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *be a faithful representation of a C*-algebra* $\mathcal{A}$. *Then* $\pi$ *is called* essential *if* $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ *contains no nonzero finite rank operators.*

The next lemma is a fundamental result about representations of quasidiagonal C*-algebras.

**Lemma 3.1** *(Theorem 1.7, [25]) Let* $\pi:\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *be a faithful essential representation. Then* $\mathcal{A}$ *is quasidiagonal if and only if* $\pi(\mathcal{A})$ *is a quasidiagonal set of operators.*

The following lemma is an important ingredient in the proof of Proposition 5.1.

**Lemma 3.2** *(Lemma 2.1, [19]) Suppose that* $\mathcal{A} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *is a separable unital quasidiagonal C*-algebra and* $x_1, \cdots, x_n$ *are self-adjoint elements in* $\mathcal{A}$. *For any* $\varepsilon > 0$, *any finite subset* $\{f_1, \cdots, f_r\}$ *of* $\mathbb{C}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{X}_n\rangle$ *and any finite subset* $\{\xi_1, \cdots, \xi_r\}$ *of* $\mathcal{H}$, *there is a finite rank projection* $p$ *in* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *such that:*

*(i)* $\|\xi_k - p\xi_k\| < \varepsilon$, $\|(px_ip - x_i)\,\xi_k\| < \varepsilon$, *for all* $1 \le i \le n$ *and* $1 \le k \le r$;

*(ii)* $\left|\|f_j(px_1p, \cdots, px_np)\|_{\mathcal{B}(p\mathcal{H})} - \|f_j(x_1, \cdots, x_n)\|\right| < \varepsilon$, *for all* $1 \le j \le r$.

Using Lemma 3.2, it is easy to see that all quasidiagonal C*-algebras are MF algebras.

**Lemma 3.3** *(Proposition 7.4, [6, 7.4]) If* $\{A_n\}$ *is a sequence of C*-algebras then* $\prod\limits_{n\in\mathbb{N}} A_n$ *is QD if and only if each* $A_n$ *is QD.*

The examples of quasidiagonal C*-algebras include all abelian C*-algebras and finite-dimensional C*-algebras as well as residually finite-dimensional C*-algebras.

# 4 Full Amalgamated Free Products of RFD C*-algebras

First, we will give the following well-known lemma. For completeness, we include the proof.

**Lemma 4.1** *Given* $0 < \epsilon < 1$ *and* $n \in \mathbb{N}$. *For any two families of* $n$ *pairwise orthogonal projections* $\{P_1, \cdots, P_n\}$ *and* $\{Q_1, \cdots, Q_n\}$ *in ndimensional unital abelian C*-subalgebras* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}$ *in* $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *with* $\|P_i - Q_i\| < \frac{\epsilon}{n+1}$ $(i = 1, \cdots, n)$, *there is a unitary* $U \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *with* $\|U - I\| < \epsilon$ *such that* $UP_iU^* = Q_i$ *for* $1 \le i \le n$.

**Proof.** Define $X = \sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} Q_iP_i$. Let $\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{n+1}$. It is clear that $\sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i = \sum_{i=1} Q_i = I$. Since $\|P_i - Q_i\| < \delta$ and $P_i - Q_i$ is self-adjoint for each $i$, we have that $Q_i - P_i + \delta \ge 0$. It follows that $Q_i \ge P_i - \delta$ and

$$\begin{aligned} X^*X &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_iQ_iP_i \ge \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i\,(P_i - \delta)\,P_i \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n} P_i - \sum_{i=1}^{n} \delta P_i = (1-\delta)\,I > 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $X$ is invertible and $\|X^*X\| \ge 1-\delta$. Assume that $X = U\,|X|$ is the polar decomposition of $X$ where $|X| = (X^*X)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ and $U$ is a partial isometry. Since $X$ is invertible, we may assume that $U$ is a unitary without loss of generality. So it is not hard to see that

$$\left\| |X|^{-1} - I \right\| \le \left(\frac{1}{1-\delta}\right)^{1/2} - 1.$$

Meanwhile, we have $\|X^*X\| \leq 1$ from the construction of $X$ and the fact that $\{P_1, \cdots, P_n\}$ and $\{Q_1, \cdots, Q_n\}$ are two families of $n$ pairwise orthogonal projections respectively. Therefore we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|U - I\| &\leq \|U - X\| + \|X - I\| \\
&\leq \|X\| \left\| |X|^{-1} - I \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Q_i - P_i) P_i . \right\| \\
&\leq \left( \left( \frac{1}{1-\delta} \right)^{1/2} - 1 \right) + n\delta < (n+1)\delta = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $X = \sum_{i=1}^{n} Q_i P_i$, it is easy to see $Q_i X = X P_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$, then $P_i |X| = |X| P_i$ as well. So

$$UP_i = X|X|^{-1} P_i = XP_i |X|^{-1} = Q_i X |X|^{-1} = Q_i U.$$

Therefore $UP_iU^* = Q_i$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$ as desired. ■

The following lemma is a useful result concerning the representations of separable C*-algebras. First, we need to recall that the rank of an operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$, denoted by rank$(T)$, is the dimension of the closure of the range of $T$.

**Lemma 4.2** *(Theorem II.5.8., [10]) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a separable unital C*-algebra and $\pi_i : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ be unital *-representations for $i = 1, 2$. Then there exists a sequence of unitaries $U_m : H_1 \to H_2$ such that $\|\pi_2(a) - U_m \pi_1(a) U_m^*\| \to 0$ $(m \to \infty)$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$ if and only if rank $(\pi_1(a)) =$ rank $(\pi_2(a))$ for all $a \in \mathcal{A}$.*

**Definition 4.1** *Suppose $\mathcal{H}$ is a separable Hilbert space and $F \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. For given $\epsilon > 0$, we say that*

$$\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\} \subseteq_\epsilon F$$

*for $\{x_1, \cdots, x_n\} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ if there are $y_1, \cdots, y_n \in F$ such that*

$$\max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \|x_i - y_i\| \leq \epsilon.$$

The following Lemma is a technical result.

**Lemma 4.3** *Let $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be unital inclusions of separable C*-algebras and $\mathcal{D}$ be a unital finite-dimensional abelian C*-algebra. Suppose $\rho_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\rho_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ are representations of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho_{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ respectively. If there are two chains $F_1 \subseteq F_2 \subseteq \cdots$ and $G_1 \subseteq G_2 \subseteq \cdots$ of finite-dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying $\dim F_k = \dim G_k$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that each $F_k$ is $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ invariant and each $G_k$ is $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ invariant, then there are sequences of representations $\{\rho_k^{\mathcal{A}}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\rho_k^{\mathcal{B}}\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_k$ for each $k$ such* *that the restriction of* $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ *on subspace* $F_k$ *equals the restriction of* $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}$ *on* $F_k$, *the restriction of* $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ *on subspace* $G_k$ *equals the restriction of* $\rho_{\mathcal{B}}$ *on* $G_k$, *i.e.,*

$$\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}|_{F_k} = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}|_{F_k}, \;\; \widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}|_{G_k} = \rho_{\mathcal{B}}|_{G_k}$$

*and the restrictions of* $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ *and* $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ *on* $\mathcal{D}$ *agree, i.e.,* $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\mathcal{D} = C^*(p_1, \cdots, p_t)$ where $p_1, \cdots, p_t$ are orthogonal projections with $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t} p_i = I$. Let $E_k = F_k + G_k$. Note that $E_k$ is $\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{D})$ $(= \rho_{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{D}))$ invariant. Let $d_k = \dim(E_k)$, $\widetilde{P}_i^k = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_{E_k}$ and $r_i = rank\left(\widetilde{P}_i^k\right)$. Let $E_k'$ be any finite dimensional subspace of $\mathcal{H}$ that is orthogonal to $E_k$ and has dimension $d_k' = \dim(E_k')$ so that $d_k + d_k' = l \cdot \dim F_k = l \cdot \dim G_k$ and $\frac{rank(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_{F_k})}{\dim(F_k)}(d_k + d_k') = rank(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_{F_k}) \cdot l \geq r_i$ for $i \in \{1, \cdots, t\}$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can find projections $\widetilde{Q}_1^k, \cdots, \widetilde{Q}_t^k \in \mathcal{B}(E_k')$ such that $\widetilde{Q}_1^k + \cdots + \widetilde{Q}_t^k = I \in \mathcal{B}(E_k')$, and $r_i + r_i^{'} = rank(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_{F_k}) \cdot l$ where $r_i' = rank\left(\widetilde{Q}_i^k\right)$. Assume that $\mathcal{H}_k = E_k + E_k^{'}$. Since

$$\dim(\mathcal{H}_k \ominus F_k) = (l-1) \cdot \dim F_k$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} rank\left(\left(\widetilde{P}_i^k + \widetilde{Q}_i^k\right)|_{\mathcal{H}_k \ominus F_k}\right) &= r_i + r_i^{'} - rank(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_{F_k}) \\ &= rank(\rho_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i)|_{F_k})(l-1). \end{aligned}$$

We can construct a representation $\rho_k^{'\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_k \ominus F_k)$ with $\rho_k^{'\mathcal{A}}(p_i) = \left(\widetilde{P}_i^k + \widetilde{Q}_i^k\right)|_{\mathcal{H}_k \ominus F_k}$ such that $\rho_k^{'\mathcal{A}}$ is unitarily equivalent to the direct sum of $l-1$ copies of the restriction of $\rho^{\mathcal{A}}$ on $F_k$,i.e., $\rho^{\mathcal{A}}|_{F_k}$. Putting $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \rho^{\mathcal{A}}(x)|_{F_k} + \rho_k^{'\mathcal{A}}(x) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_k)$. Then $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) = \widetilde{P}_i^k + \widetilde{Q}_i^k$. Similarly, we can construct a representation $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ by the same way such that $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}(p_i) = \widetilde{P}_i^k + \widetilde{Q}_i^k$. This implies that there are *-representations $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ satisfying

$$\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}|_{F_k} = \rho_{\mathcal{A}}|_{F_k}, \;\; \widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}|_{G_k} = \rho_{\mathcal{B}}|_{G_k}$$

and $\widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \widetilde{\rho}_k^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$. ■

We need one more technical result for showing our main results in this section.

**Lemma 4.4** *Let* $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ *be unital inclusions of* $C^*$*-algebras in* $\prod\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ *and* $\mathcal{D}$ *be a unital finite-dimensional abelian* $C^*$*-subalgebra of* $\prod\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$. *Suppose* $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ *is a faithful essential representation on a separable*

*Hilbert space* $\mathcal{H}$. *Then there are sequences* $\left\{\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ *and* $\left\{\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ *of representations of* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}$ *on* $\mathcal{H}$ *such that* $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ *and*

$$\left\|\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a) - \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\right\| \to 0 \text{ for } \forall a \in \mathcal{A} \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

$$\left\|\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(b) - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\right\| \to 0 \text{ for } \forall b \in \mathcal{B} \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

*Moreover, for each* $m \in \mathbb{N}$, *we can find chains of finite-dimensional subspaces* $F_1^m \subseteq F_2^m \subseteq \cdots$ *and* $G_1^m \subseteq G_2^m \subseteq \cdots$ *of* $\mathcal{H}$ *with* $\dim F_k^m = \dim G_k^m$ *such that each* $F_k^m$ *is* $\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ *invariant, each* $G_k^m$ *is* $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ *invariant and* $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^m$, $\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k^m$ *are both dense in* $\mathcal{H}$.

**Proof.** Suppose that $\mathcal{D} = C^*(p_1, \cdots, p_t)$ where $p_1, \cdots, p_t$ are orthogonal projections with $\sum_{i=1}^{t} p_i = I$. There are natural *-homomorphisms $\pi_n^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\pi_n^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the direct sums of $\left\{\pi_n^{\mathcal{A}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\pi_n^{\mathcal{B}}\right\}$ are faithful respectively. We may assume that each $\pi_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ appear infinitely often in the lists $\left\{\pi_1^{\mathcal{A}}, \pi_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots\right\}$ and $\left\{\pi_1^{\mathcal{B}}, \pi_2^{\mathcal{B}}, \cdots\right\}$ respectively so that we have an increasing sequence $N_0 = 0 < N_1 < N_2 < \cdots$ such that $\pi_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ appear at $N_k$ position in $\left\{\pi_1^{\mathcal{A}}, \pi_2^{\mathcal{A}}, \cdots\right\}$ and $\left\{\pi_1^{\mathcal{B}}, \pi_2^{\mathcal{B}}, \cdots\right\}$ respectively. It is clear that direct sums of them are faithful representations respectively. Then there are representations $\pi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with a projection $P_{N_k}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $P_{N_k}$ reduces $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}$, the restrictions of $\pi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_{\mathcal{B}}$ to $\left(P_{N_k} - P_{N_{k-1}}\right)(\mathcal{H})$ are unitarily equivalent to $\pi_k^{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\pi_k^{\mathcal{B}}$ respectively, and $P_{N_k} \to I$ in SOT as $k \to \infty$. Since

$$rank\ \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(a) = rank\ \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a) \text{ and } rank\ \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) = rank\ \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)$$

for each $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$ where $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathcal{B}}$ are the restriction of $\Phi$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively, we can find sequences $\{U_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{W_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of unitaries in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ by Lemma 4.2 such that, for every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ and $b \in \mathcal{B}$, we have

$$\|U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(a) U_m^* - \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\| \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

$$\|W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) W_m^* - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\| \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

By the fact that $\Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) = \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i)$ for every $i \in \{1, \cdots, t\}$, it follows that

$$\|U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) U_m^* - W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i) W_m^*\| \to 0 \text{ as } (m \to \infty).$$

From Lemma 4.1, there is $M_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $m \geq M_0$, there is a unitary $V_m$ and $\epsilon_m$ satisfying $\|V_m - I\| < \epsilon_m$, $\epsilon_m \to 0$ $(m \to \infty)$ and

$$V_m^* W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i) W_m^* V_m = U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) U_m^*$$

for each $i \in \{1, \cdots, t\}$. Without loss of generality we can assume that, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $V_m$ and $\epsilon_m$ such that $\|V_m - I\| < \epsilon_m$ and

$$V_m^* W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(p_i) W_m^* V_m = U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(p_i) U_m^*$$

Meanwhile, we still have

$$\|V_m^* W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) W_m^* V_m - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\| \to 0 \ as \ m \to \infty.$$

Let $\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a) = U_m \pi_{\mathcal{A}}(a) U_m^*$ and $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(b) = V_m^* W_m \pi_{\mathcal{B}}(b) W_m^* V_m$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. It is clear that $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and

$$\left\|\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a) - \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\right\| \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

$$\left\|\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(b) - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\right\| \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Putting $F_k^m = U_m P_{N_k} U_m^*(\mathcal{H})$ and $G_k^m = V_m^* W_m P_{N_k} W_m^* V_m(\mathcal{H})$. Note that $\dim F_k^m = \dim G_k^m$. We also have $F_1^m \subseteq F_2^m \subseteq \cdots$ and $G_1^m \subseteq G_2^m \subseteq \cdots$ are chains of finite dimensional subspaces of $\mathcal{H}$, and each $F_k^m$ is $\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ invariant, each $G_k^m$ is $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ invariant. Since $P_{N_k} \to I$ in SOT as $k \to \infty$, we have $\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^m$ and $\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k^m$ are both dense in $\mathcal{H}$. This completes the proof. ■

From Lemmas 4.3 and 4.4, we are able to obtain the next result which is a key for proving our main result in this section.

**Proposition 4.1** *Let $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ be unital $C^*$-inclusions of $C^*$-algebras in $\prod\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{D}$ is a unital finite-dimensional abelian $C^*$-subalgebra. Then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is RFD.*

**Proof.** Suppose that $\mathcal{D} = C^*(p_1, \cdots, p_t)$ where $p_1, \cdots, p_t$ are orthogonal projections with $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{t} p_i = I$. Suppose $\Phi : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a faithful essential representation on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Then by Lemma 4.4, there are sequences $\left\{\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}\right\}$ and $\left\{\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}\right\}$ of representations of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and

$$\left\|\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(a) - \Phi_{\mathcal{A}}(a)\right\| \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty$$

$$\left\|\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(b) - \Phi_{\mathcal{B}}(b)\right\| \to 0 \text{ as } m \to \infty.$$

Moreover, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, we can find chains of finite-dimensional subspaces $F_1^m \subseteq F_2^m \subseteq \cdots$ and $G_1^m \subseteq G_2^m \subseteq \cdots$ of $\mathcal{H}$ with $\dim F_k^m = \dim G_k^m$ such that each $F_k^m$ is $\rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{A})$ invariant, each $G_k^m$ is $\rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}(\mathcal{B})$ invariant, and $\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} F_k^m$, $\bigcup\limits_{k=1}^{\infty} G_k^m$ are both dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Then, for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$, there are sequences of representations $\left\{\rho_{m,k}^{\mathcal{A}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left\{\rho_{m,k}^{\mathcal{B}}\right\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ on a finite-dimensional Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{m,k}$ by Lemma 4.3, such that

$$\widetilde{\rho}_{m,k}^{\mathcal{A}}|_{F_k^m} = \rho_m^{\mathcal{A}}|_{F_k^m}, \ \ \widetilde{\rho}_{m,k}^{\mathcal{B}}|_{G_k^m} = \rho_m^{\mathcal{B}}|_{G_k^m}$$

and $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{m,k}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{m,k}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We first take representations $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{1,1}$, $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{1,1}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathcal{H}^1_1$ respectively. Then $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{1,1}(p_i) = \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{1,1}(p_i)$ and

$$\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{1,1}|_{F^1_1} = \rho^{\mathcal{A}}_1|_{F^1_1},\ \ \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{1,1}|_{G^1_1} = \rho^{\mathcal{B}}_1|_{G^1_1}.$$

Next we can find $F^2_{l_2}$ and $G^2_{l_2}$ such that

$$\left\{\eta^1_1, \cdots, \eta^1_{t_1}\right\} \subseteq_1 G^2_{l_2}$$

$$\left\{\xi^1_1, \cdots, \xi^1_{t_1}\right\} \subseteq_1 F^2_{l_2}$$

where $\left\{\xi^1_1, \cdots, \xi^1_{t_1}\right\}$ and $\left\{\eta^1_1, \cdots, \eta^1_{t_1}\right\}$ are linear bases of $F^1_1$ and $G^1_1$ respectively. Moreover, we have representations $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{2,l_2}, \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{2,l_2}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathcal{H}^2_{l_2}$ such that $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{2,l_2}(p_i) = \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{2,l_2}(p_i)$ and

$$\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{2,l_2}|_{F^2_{l_2}} = \rho^{\mathcal{A}}_2|_{F^2_{l_2}},\ \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{2,l_2}|_{G^2_{l_2}} = \rho^{\mathcal{B}}_2|_{G^2_{l_2}}.$$

Sequentially, we can find $F^3_{l_3}$ and $G^3_{l_3}$ satisfying

$$\left\{\xi^1_1, \cdots, \xi^1_{t_1}, \xi^2_1, \cdots, \xi^2_{t_m}\right\} \subseteq_{\frac{1}{2}} F^3_{l_3}$$

$$\left\{\eta^1_1, \cdots, \eta^1_{t_1}, \eta^2_1, \cdots, \eta^2_{t_m}\right\} \subseteq_{\frac{1}{2}} G^3_{l_3}$$

where $\left\{\xi^2_1, \cdots, \xi^2_{t_2}\right\}$ and $\left\{\eta^2_1, \cdots, \eta^2_{t_2}\right\}$ are linear bases of $F^2_{l_2}$ and $G^2_{l_2}$ respectively. Meanwhile, representations $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{3,l_3}, \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{3,l_3}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are both on $\mathcal{H}^3_{l_3}$ with $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{3,l_3}|_{\mathcal{D}} = \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{3,l_3}|_{\mathcal{D}}$ and

$$\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{3,l_3}|_{F^3_{l_3}} = \rho^{\mathcal{A}}_3|_{F^3_{l_3}},\ \ \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{3,l_3}|_{G^3_{l3}} = \rho^{\mathcal{B}}_3|_{G^3_{l_3}}.$$

So from the above construction, we can find a sequence $\left\{\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{m,l_m}\right\}^{\infty}_{m=1}$ of representations and a sequence $\left\{\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{m,l_m}\right\}^{\infty}_{m}$ of representations satisfying $\widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{m,l_m}(p_i) = \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{m,l_m}(p_i)$ for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$. We still have that $\bigcup\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} F^m_{l_m}$, $\bigcup\limits_{m=1}^{\infty} G^m_{l_m}$ are both dense in $\mathcal{H}$. Let $\widetilde{\rho}_{m,l_m} : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}\left(\mathcal{H}^m_{l_m}\right)$ be the *-representation such that $\widetilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}|_{\mathcal{A}} = \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{A}}_{m,l_m}$ and $\widetilde{\rho}_{m,l_m}|_{\mathcal{B}} = \widetilde{\rho}^{\mathcal{B}}_{m,l_m}$. We want to show that, for a given $x \in \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, there is $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left\|\widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(x)\right\| \geq \|x\| - \epsilon.$$

This will suffice to show that $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is RFD. Write $x = w_1 + \cdots + w_M$ as the sum of finitely many words $w_i$ in $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$. Assume $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ is a unit vector such that $\|\Phi(x)\xi\| \geq \|\xi\| - \frac{\epsilon}{2}$. We will show that for every $i \in \{1, \cdots, M\}$, there is $k(i)$ such that if $k \geq k(i)$, then

$$\left\|\widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}(w_i)\xi - \Phi(w_i)\xi\right\| < \epsilon/2M.$$

Taking $k \geq \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} k\left(i\right)$, this will imply $\left\|\widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(x\right)\xi - \Phi\left(x\right)\xi\right\| < \epsilon/2$, which will yield what we want. To show it, write

$$w_i = a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2 a_1$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_1, \cdots, a_l \in \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}$. Let $\xi_0 = \xi$, $\xi_j = \Phi\left(a_j\right)\xi_{j-1}$ $\left(1 \leq j \leq l\right)$ and $N = \max_{1 \leq j \leq l}\left\|a_j\right\|$. Choose $k$ large enough to ensure that

$$\max\left(dist\left(\xi_{j-1}, F_{l_k}^k\right), dist\left(\xi_{j-1}, G_{l_k}^k\right)\right) \leq \epsilon/\left(8lMN^{l-j}\right)$$

and

$$\left\|\Phi\left(a_j\right) - \rho_k^{\mathcal{A}}\left(a_j\right)\right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{8lMN^{l-1}} \qquad \text{if } a_j \in \mathcal{A}$$

or

$$\left\|\Phi\left(a_j\right) - \rho_k^{\mathcal{B}}\left(a_j\right)\right\| < \frac{\epsilon}{8lMN^{l-1}} \qquad \text{if } a_j \in \mathcal{B}$$

for any $j \in \left\{1, \cdots, l\right\}$. Let $\eta \in \mathcal{H}$. If $a_j \in \mathcal{A}$, let $\eta_k = P_{F_{l_k}^k}\left(\eta\right) \in F_{l_k}^k$, then

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi\left(a_j\right)\eta - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\eta\right\| &\leq \left\|\Phi\left(a_j\right)\eta - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\eta_k\right\| + \left\|\widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\eta_k - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\eta\right\| \\
&\leq \left\|\Phi\left(a_j\right)\eta - \Phi\left(a_j\right)\eta_k + \Phi\left(a_j\right)\eta_k - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\eta_k\right\| \\
&+ \left\|\widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\eta_k - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\eta\right\| \\
&\leq 2\left\|a_j\right\| dist\left(\eta, F_{l_k}^k\right) + \left\|\Phi\left(a_j\right)\eta_k - \rho_k^{\mathcal{A}}\left(a_j\right)\eta_k\right\| \\
&\leq 2\left\|a_j\right\| dist\left(\eta, F_{l_k}^k\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{4lMN^{l-1}}\left\|\eta_k\right\|
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $a_j \in \mathcal{B}$, then let $\eta_k = P_{G_{l_k}^k}\left(\eta\right) \in G_{l_k}^k$, then

$$\left\|\Phi\left(a_j\right)\eta - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\eta\right\| \leq 2\left\|a_j\right\| dist\left(\eta, G_{l_k}^k\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{4lMN^{l-1}}\left\|\eta_k\right\|$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\Phi\left(w_i\right)\xi - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(w_i\right)\xi\right\| &= \left\|\Phi\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\Phi\left(a_1\right)\xi_0 - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\widetilde{\rho}_k\left(a_1\right)\xi_0\right\| \\
&\leq ||\Phi\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\Phi\left(a_1\right)\xi_0 - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\Phi\left(a_1\right)\xi_0 \\
&+ \widetilde{\rho}_k\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\Phi\left(a_1\right)\xi_0 - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\widetilde{\rho}_k\left(a_1\right)\xi_0|| \\
&\leq \left\|\Phi\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\xi_1 - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\xi_1\right\| \\
&+ \left\|\widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_l a_{l-1} \cdots a_2\right)\right\|\left\|\Phi\left(a_1\right)\xi_0 - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_1\right)\xi_0\right\| \\
&\leq \sum_{j=1}^{l-1}\left\|\widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_l \cdots a_{j+1}\right)\right\|\left\|\Phi\left(a_j\right)\xi_{j-1} - \widetilde{\rho}_{k,l_k}\left(a_j\right)\xi_{j-1}\right\| \\
&< \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} N^{l-j+1} \cdot 2N \cdot \\
&\left(\max\left(dist\left(\xi_{j-1}, F_{l_k}^k\right), dist\left(\xi_{j-1}, G_{l_k}^k\right)\right) + \frac{\epsilon}{4lMN^l}N^{j-1}\left\|\xi_0\right\|\right) \\
&= \frac{\epsilon}{2M}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\mathcal{A}\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{B}$ is RFD. ■

The following lemma can be found in [5]. Combining previous lemmas and the lemma below, we are able to state and prove our main result about unital RFD algebras.

**Lemma 4.5** *(Lemma 2.2., [5]) Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital C*-algebras having $\mathcal{D}$ embedded as a unital C*-subalgebra of each of them. Let*

$$\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{B}$$

*be the full amalgamated free product of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ over $\mathcal{D}$. If there is a projection $p \in \mathcal{D}$ and there are partial isometries $v_1, \cdots, v_n \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $v_i^* v_i \leq p$ and $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} v_i v_i^* = 1 - p$, then*

$$p\mathcal{C}p \cong (p\mathcal{A}p) \underset{p\mathcal{D}p}{*} (p\mathcal{B}p) .$$

**Remark 4.1** *Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a unital C*-algebra and suppose there is a projection $p \in \mathcal{A}$ and there are partial isometries $v_1, \cdots, v_n \in \mathcal{A}$ such that $v_i^* v_i \leq p$ and $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} v_i v_i^* = 1 - p$. By emulating the argument in the proof of Lemma 2.1 in [5], we know that $\mathcal{A}$ is MF if and only if $p\mathcal{A}p$ is MF.*

**Theorem 4.1** *Let $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ be unital RFD algebras and $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite-dimensional C*-algebra. Suppose $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}$ are unital embeddings. Then $\mathcal{A}\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{B}$ is RFD if and only if there are unital embeddings $q_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{B} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, hook, "\psi_{\mathcal{A}}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{\mathcal{B}}"'] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, hook, "q_2"] & \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

**Proof.** If $\mathcal{A}\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{B}$ is RFD, then there is a unital embedding $\Phi : \mathcal{A}\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{B} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers. Let $q_1$ and $q_2$ be the restrictions of $\Phi$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Then the above diagram is commutative. Conversely, we may assume that $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are unital subalgebras of $\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers and $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ are unital inclusions of C*-algebras. Since $\mathcal{D}$ is a finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra, we can find a projection $p \in \mathcal{D}$ and partial isometries $v_1, \cdots, v_n \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $v_i^* v_i \leq p$ and $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{n} v_i v_i^* = 1 - p$. Therefore, for showing $\mathcal{A}\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{B}$ is RFD, it is sufficient to show that $P\mathcal{A}P *_{P\mathcal{D}P} P\mathcal{B}P$ is RFD by Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 2.1 in [5]. Since $P\mathcal{D}P$ is a finite-dimensional abelian C*-algebra. Then the desired result follows from Proposition 4.1. ■

**Corollary 4.1** *Suppose that $\mathcal{A}$ is RFD and $\mathcal{D}$ is a unital finite-dimensional C*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$. Then $\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{A}$ is an RFD C*-algebra.*

**Proof.** It is clear by Theorem 4.1. ■

**Example 4.1** *Let $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ be unital inclusions of unital C*-algebras. If $tr_k|_{\mathcal{D}} = tr_l|_{\mathcal{D}}$ where $tr_k$ and $tr_l$ are tracial states on $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C})$ and $\mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ respectively, then there exists an integer $n$ and there are two unital embeddings $q_1 : \mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that $q_1|_{\mathcal{D}} = q_2|_{\mathcal{D}}$. It implies that there is a commutative diagram which is same as the one in Theorem 4.1. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ is RFD. In fact, this result has been proved in [5].*

**Remark 4.2** *From the previous example and the fact that every MF algebra has a tracial state, it is not hard to see that $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ is RFD if and only if $\mathcal{M}_k(\mathbb{C}) *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{M}_l(\mathbb{C})$ is an MF algebra.*

# 5 Full Amalgamated Free Product of Unital MF-Algebras

## 5.1 $\mathcal{D}$ Is A Finite-dimensional C*-algebra

In this subsection, we consider unital full free products of unital MF algebras with amalgamation over finite-dimensional C*-algebras. To state and prove our main result, we need following lemmas.

**Lemma 5.1** *Suppose $\mathcal{A} = C^*(x_1, x_2, \cdots)$ and $\mathcal{B} = C^*(y_1, y_2, \cdots)$ are unital C*-algebras. Then there is a unital *-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{B}$ sending each $x_k$ to $y_k$, if and only if, for each $*$-polynomial $P \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2, \cdots \rangle$, we have*

$$\|P(x_1, x_2, \cdots)\| \geq \|P(y_1, y_2, \cdots)\|.$$

The following lemma is a generalized version of Lemma 4.2.

**Lemma 5.2** *(Theorem 5.1., [16]) Suppose $\mathcal{A}$ is a separable unital C*-algebra, $\mathcal{H}_1$, $\mathcal{H}_2$ are separable infinite-dimensional Hilbert spaces and $\pi_i : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_i)$ are unital *-representations for $i = 1, 2$. If, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$rank(\pi_1(x)) \leq rank(\pi_2(x)),$$

*then there is a sequence $\{U_n\}$ of unitary operators, $U_n : \mathcal{H}_1 \to \mathcal{H}_2$, such that, for each $x \in \mathcal{A}$,*

$$U_n^* \pi_2(x) U_n \to \pi_1(x) \quad *\text{-}SOT$$

*as $n \to \infty$.*

The following lemma can be found in [13], which concerns some elementary and useful facts about elements in ultraproducts of C*-algebras and their representatives.

**Lemma 5.3** *(Proposition 2.1, [13]) Let $\mathcal{A}_i$, $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, be unital $C^*$-algebras and $\alpha$ an ultrafilter on $\mathbb{Z}$. Then*

1. *If $P$ is a projection in $\prod^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_l$, then there are projections $P_l$ in $\mathcal{A}_l$ such that $P = [(P_l)]$;*

2. *If $P = [(P_l)]$, $Q = [(Q_l)]$ are in $\prod^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_l$ and all $P_l$, $Q_l$ are projections and if $V \in \prod^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_l$ is a partial isometry with $V^*V = P$ and $VV^* = Q$, then there are $V_l$ in $\mathcal{A}_l$ such that, eventually along $\alpha$, $V_l^* V_l = P_l$ and $V_l V_l^* = Q_l$;*

3. *If $P = [(P_l)] \in \prod^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_l$ and each $P_l$ is a projection, and if $Q$ is a projection in $\prod^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_l$ such that $Q \leq P$, then there are projection $Q_l \in \mathcal{A}_l$ with $Q_l \leq P_l$, such that $Q = [(Q_l)]$.*

To show our main result, we need the following technical results.

**Lemma 5.4** *Let $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}$ be unital inclusions of MF-algebras. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is a finite-dimensional abelian $C^*$-algebra generated by a family $\{z_1, z_2, \cdots z_l\}$ of self-adjoint elements, and $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by a family $\{x_1, x_2 \cdots, z_1, z_2, \cdots z_l\}$ of self-adjoint elements, $\mathcal{B}$ is generated by a family $\{y_1, y_2, \cdots, z_1, z_2, \cdots z_l\}$ of self-adjoint elements. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a faithful representation of the full amalgamated free product $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ on a separable Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Assume that there is a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers with unital embeddings*

$$q_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}),$$

*and*

$$q_2 : \mathcal{B} \to \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$$

*such that $q_1(z_i) = q_2(z_i)$ for each $1 \leq i \leq l$. Also assume that, for a large enough $r \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\{P_1, \cdots, P_{2r}\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \mathbf{X}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{X}_r, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l \rangle$$

*with $\{\mathbf{X}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{X}_r, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l\} \subset \{P_1, \cdots, P_{2r}\}$, and*

$$\{Q_1, \cdots, Q_{2r}\} \subset \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \mathbf{Y}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Y}_r, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l \rangle$$

*with $\{\mathbf{Y}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Y}_r, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l\} \subset \{Q_1, \cdots, Q_{2r}\}$. Then there are sequences $\left\{E_{m,r}^i\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, $\left\{F_{m,r}^i\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and a sequence $\left\{G_{m,r}^j\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of operators in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ for each $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$ such that*

$$\left| \left\| P_s\left(E_{m,r}^1, \cdots, E_{m,r}^r, G_{m,r}^1, \cdots, G_{m,r}^l\right) \right\| - \left\| P_s\left(x_1, \cdots, x_r, z_1, \cdots, z_l\right) \right\| \right| < \frac{1}{2r}, \tag{5.1}$$

$$\left|\left\|Q_s\left(F^1_{m,r},\cdots F^r_{m,r},G^1_{m,r},\cdots,G^l_{m,r}\right)\right\|-\left\|Q_s\left(y_1,\cdots,y_r,z_1,\cdots,z_l\right)\right\|\right|<\frac{1}{2r} \tag{5.2}$$

*for each* $1\leq s\leq 2r$, $m\in\mathbb{N}$. *We also have that* $C^*\left(E^1_{m,r},\cdots,F^1_{m,r},\cdots,G^1_{m,r},\cdots,G^l_{m,r}\right)$ *is an RFD C*-algebra for each* $m\in\mathbb{N}$, *and*

$$E^i_{m,r}\to\varphi(x_i)\ \text{ as } m\to\infty \text{ in *-SOT for } i\in\mathbb{N}; \tag{5.3}$$

$$F^i_{m,r}\to\varphi(y_i)\ \text{ as } m\to\infty \text{ in *-SOT for } i\in\mathbb{N}; \tag{5.4}$$

$$G^j_{m,r}\to\varphi(z_j)\ \text{ as } m\to\infty \text{ in *-SOT for } j\in\{1,\cdots,l\}. \tag{5.5}$$

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we suppose that $z_1,\cdots z_l$ are orthogonal projections with $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{l} z_i=I$ and

$$\|x_i\|=\|y_i\|=1\quad\text{ for each } i\in\mathbb{N}.$$

From Lemma 2.1, we may assume that for each $i\in\mathbb{N}$, $j\in\{1,\cdots,l\}$, there are families

$$\{A^m_1,A^m_2,\cdots\},\{D^m_1,D^m_2,\cdots,D^m_l\}\text{ and }\{B^m_1,B^m_2,\cdots\}\subset\mathcal{M}^{s.a}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$$

for each $k_m\in\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\|P(A^m_1,A^m_2\dots,D^m_1,\cdots,D^m_l)\|=\|P(x_1,x_2\dots,z_1,\cdots,z_l)\| \tag{5.6}$$

for any $P\in\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2\cdots,\mathbf{Z}_1,\cdots\mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$, and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}\|Q(B^m_1,B^m_2\dots,D^m_1,\cdots,D^m_l)\|=\|Q(y_1,y_2\dots,z_1,\cdots,z_l)\| \tag{5.7}$$

for any $Q\in\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle\mathbf{Y}_1,\mathbf{Y}_2,\cdots,\mathbf{Z}_1,\cdots\mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$.

If $r$ is large enough, we can assume that $D^m_1,D^m_2,\cdots,D^m_l$ are orthogonal projections with $\sum_{j=1}^{l}D^m_j=I\in\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ for $m\geq r$ by Lemma 5.3. If $\{P_1,\cdots,P_{2r}\}\subset\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle\mathbf{X}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{X}_r,\mathbf{Z}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$ satisfying

$$\{\mathbf{X}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{X}_r,\mathbf{Z}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{Z}_l\}\subset\{P_1,\cdots,P_{2r}\} \tag{5.8}$$

and $\{Q_1,\cdots,Q_{2r}\}\subset\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle\mathbf{Y}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{Y}_r,\mathbf{Z}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$ satisfying

$$\{\mathbf{Y}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{Y}_r,\mathbf{Z}_1,\cdots,\mathbf{Z}_l\}\subset\{Q_1,\cdots,Q_{2r}\}, \tag{5.9}$$

then there is an integer $N_r$ such that, for $A_i(N_r)=\prod\limits_{r\geqslant N_r}A^r_i$, $B_i(N_r)=\prod\limits_{r\geqslant N_r}B^r_i$ for each $i\in\mathbb{N}$ and $D_j(N_r)=\prod\limits_{r\geqslant N_r}D^r_i$ for $j\in\{1,\cdots,l\}$, we have

$$\left|\left\|P_s\left(A_1(N_r),\cdots,A_r(N_r),D_1(N_r),\cdots,D_l(N_r)\right)\right\|-\left\|P_s\left(x_1,\cdots,x_r,z_1,\cdots,z_l\right)\right\|\right|<\frac{1}{2r} \tag{5.10}$$

for each $1 \leq s \leq 2r$ by (5.6), and

$$|\|Q_t(B_1(N_r), \cdots B_r(N_r), D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r))\| - \|Q_t(y_1, \cdots, y_r, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\|| < \frac{1}{2r} \tag{5.11}$$

for each $1 \leq t \leq 2r$ by (5.7). Combining with (5.8) and (5.9), we have

$$\|A_i(N_r)\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2r} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq r \tag{5.12}$$

$$\|B_i(N_r)\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2r} for 1 \leq i \leq r \tag{5.13}$$

and

$$\|D_i(N_r)\| \leq 1 + \frac{1}{2r} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq l. \tag{5.14}$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A}_{N_r} = C^*(A_1(N_r), A_2(N_r) \cdots, D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r))$$

and

$$\mathcal{B}_{N_r} = C^*(B_1(N_r), B_2(N_r) \cdots, D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r))$$

be C*-algebras in $\prod_{r \geqslant N_r} \mathcal{M}_{k_r}(\mathbb{C})$ and

$$\mathcal{D}_{N_r} = C^*(D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r))$$

be unital finite-dimensional C*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}_{N_r}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{N_r}$.

Since

$$\begin{aligned} &\|P(A_1(N_r), A_2(N_r) \cdots, D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r))\|_{\prod_{r \geqslant N} \mathcal{M}_{k_r}(\mathbb{C})} \\ = &\sup_{k \geq N_r} \left\|P(A_1^k, A_2^k \cdots, D_1^k, \cdots, D_l^k)\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{k_r}(\mathbb{C})} \geq \|P(x_1, x_2 \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\|_{\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $P \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l \rangle$, and

$$\begin{aligned} &\|Q(B_1(N_r), B_2(N_r) \cdots, D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r))\|_{\prod_{r \geqslant N} \mathcal{M}_{k_r}(\mathbb{C})} \\ = &\sup_{k \geq N_r} \left\|Q(B_1^k, B_2^k \cdots, D_1^k, \cdots, D_l^k)\right\|_{\mathcal{M}_{k_r}(\mathbb{C})} \geq \|Q(y_1, y_2 \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\|_{\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}} \end{aligned}$$

for any $Q \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l \rangle$, there are *-homomorphisms

$$\rho_{N_r}^{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A}_{N_r} \to \varphi\left(\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}\right);$$

$$\rho_{N_r}^{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{B}_{Nr} \to \varphi\left(\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}\right).$$

such that $\rho_{N_r}^{\mathcal{A}}(A_i(N_r)) = \varphi(x_i)$, $\rho_{N_r}^{\mathcal{B}}(B_i(N_r)) = \varphi(y_i)$ and $\rho_{N_r}^{\mathcal{A}}(D_j(N_r)) = \rho_{N_r}^{\mathcal{B}}(D_j(N_r)) = \varphi(z_j)$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$ by Lemma 5.1. It follows that there is a *-homomorphism

$$\rho_{N_r} : \mathcal{A}_{N_r} \underset{\mathcal{D}_{N_r}}{*} \mathcal{B}_{N_r} \to \varphi\left(\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}\right)$$

satisfying $\rho_{N_r}(A_i(N_r)) = \varphi(x_i)$ and $\rho_{N_r}(B_i(N_r)) = \varphi(y_i)$ as well as $\rho_{N_r}(D_j(N_r)) = \varphi(z_j)$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$. We also know that $\mathcal{A}_{N_r} \underset{\mathcal{D}_{N_r}}{*} \mathcal{B}_{N_r}$ is an RFD C*-algebra by Theorem 4.1.

Let $\pi_{N_r} : \mathcal{A}_{N_r} \underset{\mathcal{D}_{N_r}}{*} \mathcal{B}_{N_r} \to \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}_{N_r})$ be a faithful essential representation of $\mathcal{A}_{N_r} \underset{\mathcal{D}_{N_r}}{*} \mathcal{B}_{N_r}$. Then $\pi_{N_r}\left(\mathcal{A}_{N_r} \underset{\mathcal{D}_{N_r}}{*} \mathcal{B}_{N_r}\right)$ is an RFD C*-algebra and

$$\pi_{N_r}\left(\mathcal{A}_{N_r} \underset{\mathcal{D}_{N_r}}{*} \mathcal{B}_{N_r}\right) = C^*\left(\pi_{N_r}(A_1(N_r)), \cdots, \pi_{N_r}(B_1(N_r)), \cdots, D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r)\right). \tag{5.15}$$

Since

$$rank\left(\pi_{N_r}(x)\right) \geq rank\left(\rho_{N_r}(x)\right)$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{A}_{N_r} \underset{\mathcal{D}_{N_r}}{*} \mathcal{B}_{N_r}$, Lemma 5.2 implies that there is a sequence of unitary operators $\left\{U_m^{N_r}\right\}_{m=1}^{\infty} \subset \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{H}_{N_r})$ such that

$$\rho_{N_r}(x) = *\text{-}SOT\text{-}\lim_{m\to\infty} U_m^{N_r *} \pi_{N_r}(x) U_m^{N_r} \tag{5.16}$$

for each $x \in \mathcal{A}_{N_r} \underset{\mathcal{D}_{N_r}}{*} \mathcal{B}_{N_r}$. So, for $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$, if we put

$$E_{m,r}^i = U_m^{N_r *} \pi_{N_r}(A_i(N_r)) U_m^{N_r}, \tag{5.17}$$

$$F_{m,r}^i = U_m^{N_r *} \pi_{N_r}(B_i(N_r)) U_m^{N_r} \tag{5.18}$$

and

$$G_{m,r}^j = U_m^{N_r *} \pi_{N_r}(D_j(N_r)) U_m^{N_r}, \tag{5.19}$$

then, for every $m \in \mathbb{N}, i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$, we have

$$\left\|P\left(E_{m,r}^1, \cdots, E_{m,r}^r, G_{m,r}^1, \cdots, G_{m,r}^l\right)\right\| = \left\|P\left(A_1(N_r), \cdots, A_r(N_r), D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r)\right)\right\|, \tag{5.20}$$

for every $P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, X_2, \cdots, Z_1, \cdots, Z_l\rangle$, and

$$\left\|Q\left(F_{m,r}^1, \cdots F_{m,r}^r, G_{m,r}^1, \cdots, G_{m,r}^l\right)\right\| = \left\|Q\left(B_1(N_r), \cdots B_r(N_r), D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r)\right)\right\| \tag{5.21}$$

for every $Q \in \mathbb{C}\langle Y_1, Y_2, \cdots, Z_1, \cdots, Z_l\rangle$. It follows that, for each $P_s \in \{P_1, \cdots, P_{2r}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle X_1, \cdots, X_r, Z_1, \cdots, Z_l\rangle$ and each $Q_t \in \{Q_1, \cdots, Q_{2r}\} \subseteq \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle Y_1, \cdots, Y_r, Z_1, \cdots, Z_l\rangle$

$$\left|\left\|P_s\left(E_{m,r}^1, \cdots, E_{m,r}^r, G_{m,r}^1, \cdots, G_{m,r}^l\right)\right\| - \left\|P_s(x_1, \cdots, x_r, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\right\|\right| < \frac{1}{2r},$$

$$\left|\left\|Q_t\left(F_{m,r}^1, \cdots F_{m,r}^r, G_{m,r}^1, \cdots, G_{m,r}^l\right)\right\| - \left\|Q_t(y_1, \cdots, y_r, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\right\|\right| < \frac{1}{2r}$$

for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ by (5.10), (5.11), and (5.20), (5.21). Since $C^*\left(E_{m,r}^1, \cdots, F_{m,r}^1, \cdots, G_{m,r}^1, \cdots, G_{m,r}^l\right)$ is *-isomorphic to the C*-algebra

$$C^*\left(\pi_{N_r}(A_1(N_r)), \cdots, \pi_{N_r}(B_1(N_r)), \cdots, D_1(N_r), \cdots, D_l(N_r)\right),$$

we have

$$C^*\left(E^1_{m,r},\cdots,F^1_{m,r},\cdots,G^1_{m,r},\cdots,G^l_{m,r}\right)$$

is an RFD C*-algebra for each $m\in\mathbb{N}$. We also get

$$\begin{aligned}&E^i_{m,r}\to\varphi(x_i)\text{ as }m\to\infty\text{ in *-SOT for }i\in\mathbb{N};\\&F^i_{m,r}\to\varphi(y_i)\text{ as }m\to\infty\text{ in *-SOT for }i\in\mathbb{N};\\&G^j_{m,r}\to\varphi(z_j)\text{ as }m\to\infty\text{ in *-SOT for }j\in\{1,\cdots,l\}.\end{aligned}$$

by (5.16), (5.17), (5.18) and (5.19). ∎

The next proposition is a key ingredient for proving our main theorem in this subsection.

**Proposition 5.1** *Let $\mathcal{A}\supset\mathcal{D}\subset\mathcal{B}$ be unital inclusions of MF-algebras, where $\mathcal{D}$ is a finite-dimensional abelian C*-algebra. Let $\varphi:\mathcal{A}\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{B}\to\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a faithful representation of the full amalgamated free product $\mathcal{A}\underset{\mathcal{D}}{*}\mathcal{B}$. Suppose that $\mathcal{D}$ is generated by a family $\{z_1,z_2,\cdots z_l\}$ of self-adjoint elements, $\mathcal{A}$ is generated by a family $\{x_1,x_2\cdots,z_1,z_2,\cdots z_l\}$ of self-adjoint elements and $\mathcal{B}$ is generated by a family $\{y_1,y_2,\cdots,z_1,z_2,\cdots z_l\}$ of self-adjoint elements. Suppose that there is a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers with unital embeddings $q_1:\mathcal{A}\to\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})/\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$, and $q_2:\mathcal{B}\to\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})/\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty}\mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $q_1(z_i)=q_2(z_i)$ for each $1\le i\le l$. Then there is a sequence $\{t_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of integers such that, for each $t_r\in\{t_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, there exist sequences*

$$\{X^r_1,X^r_2,\cdots\},\{Y^r_1,Y^r_2,\cdots\}\ \text{and}\ \{Z^r_1,\cdots Z^r_l\}$$

*in $\mathcal{M}_{t_r}(\mathbb{C})$ and a unitary operator $W_r:\mathcal{H}\to(\mathbb{C}^{t_r})^{\infty}$ satisfying*

$$W_r^*\left(X^r_i\right)^{(\infty)}W_r\to\varphi(x_i)\ \text{ in SOT as } r\to\infty\text{ for } i\in\mathbb{N}$$

$$W_r^*\left(Y^r_i\right)^{(\infty)}W_r\to\varphi(y_i)\ \text{ in SOT as } r\to\infty\text{ for } i\in\mathbb{N}$$

*and*

$$W_r^*\left(Z^r_i\right)^{(\infty)}W_r\to\varphi(z_i)\ \text{ in SOT as } r\to\infty\text{ for } i\in\{1,\cdots,l\}$$

*as well as*

$$\begin{aligned}\|P(x_1,x_2,\cdots,z_1,\cdots z_l)\|&=\lim_{r\to\infty}\|P\left(X^r_1,X^r_2,\cdots,Z^r_1,\cdots,Z^r_l\right)\|\\\|Q(y_1,y_2,\cdots,z_1,\cdots z_l)\|&=\lim_{r\to\infty}\|Q\left(Y^r_1,Y^r_2,\cdots,Z^r_1,\cdots,Z^r_l\right)\|\end{aligned}$$

*for any $P\in\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle\mathbf{X}_1,\mathbf{X}_2\cdots,\mathbf{Z}_1,\cdots\mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$ and $Q\in\mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle\mathbf{Y}_1,\mathbf{Y}_2\cdots,\mathbf{Z}_1,\cdots\mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$.*

**Proof.** Suppose $z_1,\cdots z_l$ are orthogonal projections with $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{l}z_i=I$ and

$$\|x_i\|=\|y_i\|=1\quad\text{ for each } i\in\mathbb{N}.$$

Assume $\{e_1, e_2, \cdots\}$ is a family of orthonormal basis of $\mathcal{H}$. With notations as in Lemma 5.4, for a large enough integer $r$ and a subset $\{e_1, \cdots, e_r\} \subseteq \{e_1, e_2 \cdots\}$, there is an integer $M$ such that, for $1 \le i \le r, j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$ and $1 \le k \le r$

$$\left\|E^i_{M,r} e_k - \varphi(x_i) e_k\right\| < \frac{1}{2r} \tag{5.22}$$

$$\left\|F^i_{M,r} e_k - \varphi(y_j) e_k\right\| < \frac{1}{2r} \tag{5.23}$$

and

$$\left\|G^j_{M,r} e_k - \varphi(z_j) e_k\right\| < \frac{1}{2r}. \tag{5.24}$$

Note that $\left\{E^1_{M,r}, E^2_{M,r}, \cdots, F^1_{M,r}, \cdots, G^1_{M,r}, \cdots G^l_{M,r}\right\}$ is a family of self-adjoint elements in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ from the proof of Lemma 5.4. So, by Lemma 3.2 and the fact that $C^*\left(E^1_{M,r}, E^2_{M,r}, \cdots, F^1_{M,r}, \cdots, G^1_{M,r}, \cdots G^l_{M,r}\right)$ is a QD algebra (actually it is RFD C*-algebra), there is a projection $\mathcal{P}_r \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that, for $1 \le i \le r$, $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$, $1 \le k \le r$,

$$\|e_k - \mathcal{P}_r e_k\| < \frac{1}{6r} \tag{5.25}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left\|\mathcal{P}_r E^i_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r e_k - E^i_{M,r} e_k\right\| &< \frac{1}{6r} &(5.26)\\
\left\|\mathcal{P}_r F^i_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r e_k - F^i_{M,r} e_k\right\| &< \frac{1}{6r} &(5.27)\\
\left\|\mathcal{P}_r G^j_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r e_k - G^j_{M,r} e_k\right\| &< \frac{1}{6r} &(5.28)
\end{aligned}$$

as well as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\,\left\|P_s\left(\mathcal{P}_r E^1_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \cdots, \mathcal{P}_r E^r_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{P}_r G^1_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \cdots \mathcal{P}_r G^l_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r\right)\right\| - \left\|P_s\left(E^1_{M,r}, \cdots E^r_{M,r}, G^1_{M,r}, \cdots G^l_{M,r}\right)\right\|\,\right|\\
&< \frac{1}{2r} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le s \le 2r,
\end{aligned} \tag{5.29}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\,\left\|Q_t\left(\mathcal{P}_r F^1_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \cdots, \mathcal{P}_r F^r_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{P}_r G^1_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \cdots \mathcal{P}_r G^l_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r\right)\right\| - \left\|Q_t\left(F^1_{M,r}, \cdots F^r_{M,r}, G^1_{M,r}, \cdots G^l_{M,r}\right)\right\|\,\right|\\
&< \frac{1}{2r} \qquad \text{for } 1 \le t \le 2r.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.30}$$

By (5.20), (5.21) and (5.29), (5.30), we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left|\,\left\|P_s\left(\mathcal{P}_r E^1_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \cdots, \mathcal{P}_r E^r_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \mathcal{P}_r G^1_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r, \cdots \mathcal{P}_r G^l_{M,r} \mathcal{P}_r\right)\right\|\right.\\
&\left. - \left\|P_s\left(A_1\left(N_r\right), \cdots, A_r\left(N_r\right), C_1\left(N_r\right), \cdots C_l\left(N_r\right)\right)\right\|\,\right|\\
&< \frac{1}{2r} \text{ for } 1 \le s \le 2r
\end{aligned} \tag{5.31}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\,\left\|Q_t\left(\mathcal{P}_r F^1_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r,\cdots,\mathcal{P}_r F^r_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r,\mathcal{P}_r G^1_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r,\cdots\mathcal{P}_r G^l_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r\right)\right\|\right.\\
&\left.-\left\|Q_t\left(B_1\left(N_r\right),\cdots B_r\left(N_r\right),C_1\left(N_r\right),\cdots C_l\left(N_r\right)\right)\right\|\,\right|\\
&<\frac{1}{2r}\qquad\qquad \text{for } 1\le t\le 2r. \qquad (5.32)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $t_r=\dim\mathcal{P}_r\mathcal{H}$ and $\widetilde{W_r}:\mathcal{P}_r\mathcal{H}\to\mathbb{C}^{t_r}$ be an unitary. Putting $X_i^r=\widetilde{W_r}\mathcal{P}_r E^i_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r\widetilde{W_r}^*$, $Y_i^r=\widetilde{W_r}\mathcal{P}_r F^i_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r\widetilde{W_r}^*$ for $i\in\mathbb{N}$ and $Z_j^r=\widetilde{W_r}\mathcal{P}_r G^j_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r\widetilde{W_r}^*$ for $j\in\{1,\cdots,l\}$, and combining (5.31), (5.32) and (5.10), (5.11), we have

$$
\left|\left\|P_s\left(X_1^r,\cdots,X_r^r,Z_1^r,\cdots,Z_l^r\right)\right\|-\left\|P_s(x_1,\cdots,x_r,z_1,\cdots,z_l\right\|\right|<\frac{1}{r} \qquad (5.33)
$$

$$
\left|\left\|Q_t\left(Y_1^r,\cdots,Y_r^r,Z_1^r,\cdots,Z_l^r\right)\right\|-\left\|Q_t(y_1,\cdots,y_r,z_1,\cdots,z_l\right\|\right|<\frac{1}{r} \qquad (5.34)
$$

for $1\le s,t\le 2r$. Hence we can find a unitary $W_r:\mathcal{H}\to\left(\mathbb{C}^{t_r}\right)^\infty$ such that $W_r$ is unitary equivalent to $\left(\widetilde{W_r}\right)^\infty$ and $W_r\mathcal{P}_r=\widetilde{W_r}$. It follows that, for $1\le i\le r$, $j\in\{1,\cdots,l\}$ and $1\le k\le r$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\|W_r^*\left(X_i^r\right)^\infty W_r e_k-E^i_{m_r}e_k\right\|\le\left\|W_r^*\left(X_i^r\right)^\infty W_r\right\|\left\|e_k-\mathcal{P}_r e_k\right\|+\left\|W_r^*\left(X_i^r\right)^\infty W_r\mathcal{P}_r e_k-E^i_{m_r}e_k\right\|\\
&\le\left(1+\frac{1}{2r}\right)\frac{1}{6r}+\left\|W_r^*\left(\widetilde{W_r}\mathcal{P}_r E^i_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r\widetilde{W_r}^*\right)^\infty\widetilde{W_r}e_k-E^i_{m_r}e_k\right\|\\
&=\left(1+\frac{1}{2r}\right)\frac{1}{6r}+\left\|\mathcal{P}_r E^i_{M,r}\mathcal{P}_r e_k-E^i_{M,r}e_k\right\|\\
&\le\left(1+\frac{1}{2r}\right)\frac{1}{6r}+\frac{1}{6r}<\frac{1}{2r}, \qquad (5.35)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\left\|W_r^*\left(Y_i^r\right)^\infty W_r e_k-F^i_{M,r}e_k\right\|<\dfrac{1}{2r}$, (5.36)

$$
\left\|W_r^*\left(Z_j^r\right)^\infty W_r e_k-G^j_{M,r}e_k\right\|<\frac{1}{2r} \qquad (5.37)
$$

by the definition of $X_i^r$, $Y_i^r$ and $Z_j^r$ and (5.25), (1), (1) and (1). Combining the inequalities from above with (5.22), (5.23) and (5.24), we have, for $1\le i\le r$, $j\in\{1,\cdots,l\}$ and $1\le k\le r$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|W_r^*\left(X_i^r\right)^\infty W_r e_k-\varphi\left(x_i\right)e_k\right\| &< \frac{1}{r};\\
\left\|W_r^*\left(Y_i^r\right)^\infty W_r e_k-\varphi\left(y_i\right)e_k\right\| &< \frac{1}{r};\\
\left\|W_r^*\left(Z_j^r\right)^\infty W_r e_k-\varphi\left(z_j\right)e_k\right\| &< \frac{1}{r}.
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
W_r^*\left(X_i^r\right)^{(\infty)}W_r\to\varphi\left(x_i\right)\qquad\text{in SOT as } r\to\infty
$$

$$
W_r^*\left(Y_i^r\right)^{(\infty)}W_r\to\varphi\left(y_i\right)\qquad\text{in SOT as } r\to\infty
$$

$$W_r^* \left(Z_j^r\right)^{(\infty)} W_r \rightarrow \varphi\left(z_j\right) \qquad \text{in SOT as } r \rightarrow \infty$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$ and

$$\|P(x_1, x_2, \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\| = \lim_{r\rightarrow\infty} \|P\left(X_1^r, X_2^r, \cdots, Z_1^r, \cdots, Z_l^r\right)\|$$
$$\|Q(y_1, y_2, \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\| = \lim_{r\rightarrow\infty} \|Q\left(Y_1^r, Y_2^r, \cdots, Z_1^r, \cdots, Z_l^r\right)\|$$

for any $P \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l \rangle$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l \rangle$ as desired. ■

Now we are ready to show our main result in this subsection.

**Theorem 5.1** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital MF-algebras and $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite-dimensional C*-algebra. Suppose $\psi_1 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi_2 : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ are unital embeddings. Then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra if and only if there are unital embeddings $q_1 : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ and $q_2 : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$ for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers such that the following diagram commutes*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, hook, "\psi_{\mathcal{A}}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{\mathcal{B}}"'] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, hook, "q_2"] & \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

**Proof.** If $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra, then there is a unital embedding

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_n}(\mathbb{C})$$

for a sequence $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of integers. Let $q_1$ and $q_2$ be the restrictions of $\Phi$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively. Then the above diagram is commutative.

Conversely, suppose $\mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are generated by families

$$\{z_1, \cdots, z_l\},$$

$$\{x_1, x_2 \cdots, \psi_1(z_1), \cdots, \psi_1(z_l)\}$$

and

$$\{y_1, y_2, \cdots, \psi_2(z_1), \cdots, \psi_2(z_l)\}$$

respectively with $\|x_i\| = \|y_i\| = \|z_j\| = 1$ for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$. By Remark 4.1, we may assume that $\mathcal{D}$ is a finite-dimensional abelian C*-algebra and $z_1, \cdots z_l$ are orthogonal projections with $\sum\limits_{i=1}^{l} z_i = I$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is generated by a sequence $\{x_1, x_2 \cdots, y_1, y_2 \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l\}$. Let $\varphi : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a faithful representation of full amalgamated free product $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$. Applying Proposition 5.1, there is a sequence $\{t_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$ of integers such that, for each $t_r \in \{t_m\}_{m=1}^{\infty}$, there exist sequences $\{X_1^r, X_2^r, \cdots\}, \{Y_1^r, Y_2^r, \cdots\}$ and $\{Z_1^r, \cdots, Z_2^r\}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{t_r}(\mathbb{C})$ and a unitary operator $W_r : \mathcal{H} \to (\mathbb{C}^{t_r})^{\infty}$ such that

$$W_r^* (X_i^r)^{(\infty)} W_r \to \varphi(x_i) \qquad \text{in SOT as } r \to \infty \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$W_r^* (Y_i^r)^{(\infty)} W_r \to \varphi(y_i) \qquad \text{in SOT as } r \to \infty \text{ for } i \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$W_r^* \left(Z_j^r\right)^{(\infty)} W_r \to \varphi(z_j) \qquad \text{in SOT as } r \to \infty \text{ for } j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$$

as well as

$$\|P(x_1, x_2, \cdots, z_1, z_2, \cdots)\| = \lim_{r\to\infty} \|P(X_1^r, X_2^r, \cdots, Z_1^r, \cdots, Z_l^r)\|$$

$$\|Q(y_1, y_2, \cdots, z_1, z_2, \cdots)\| = \lim_{r\to\infty} \|Q(Y_1^r, Y_2^r, \cdots, Z_1^r, \cdots, Z_l^r)\|$$

for any $P \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$ and $Q \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$. Therefore, we can define unital embeddings

$$q_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \prod M_{t_r}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum M_{t_r}(\mathbb{C})$$

and

$$q_2 : \mathcal{B} \to \prod M_{t_r}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum M_{t_r}(\mathbb{C})$$

so that $q_1(x_i) = [(X_i^r)], q_1(\psi_1(z_j)) = \left[\left(Z_j^r\right)\right]$, and $q_2(y_i) = [(Y_i^r)], q_2(\psi_2(z_j)) = \left[\left(Z_j^r\right)\right]$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}, j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$. From the definition of full amalgamated free product, there is a $*$-homomorphism

$$\Phi : \mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B} \to \prod M_{t_r}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum M_{t_r}(\mathbb{C})$$

such that $\Phi(x_i) = [(X_i^r)], \Phi(y_i) = [(Y_i^r)], \Phi(z_j) = \left[\left(Z_j^r\right)\right]$ where $i \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \{1, \cdots, l\}$. Furthermore, for any $\Psi_j \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\|\Psi_j([(X_1^r)], [(X_2^r)] \cdots, [(Y_1^r)], [(Y_2^r)] \cdots, [(Z_1^r)], \cdots, [(Z_l^r)])\| \\ &= \limsup_{r\to\infty} \|\Psi_j(X_1^r, X_2^r \cdots, Y_1^r, Y_2^r \cdots, , Z_1^r, \cdots, Z_l^r)\|_{M_{k_r}(\mathbb{C})} \\ &\leq \|\Psi_j(x_1, x_2 \cdots, y_1, y_2 \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\|_{\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.38}$$

Meanwhile,

$$\begin{aligned} &\Psi_j(W_r^*(X_1^r)^{\infty} W_r, \cdots, W_r^*(Y_1^r)^{\infty} W_r, \cdots, W_r^*(Z_1^r)^{\infty} W_r, \cdots, W_r^*(Z_l^r)^{\infty} W_r) \\ &\to \Psi_j(x_1, x_2 \cdots, y_1, y_2 \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l) \text{ in SOT as } r \to \infty, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} &\liminf_{r\to\infty} \|\Psi_j(X_1^r, X_2^r \cdots, Y_1^r, Y_2^r \cdots, , Z_1^r, \cdots, Z_l^r)\|_{M_{k_r}(\mathbb{C})} \\ &= \liminf_{r\to\infty} \|\Psi_j(W_r^*(X_1^r)^{\infty} W_r, \cdots, W_r^*(Y_1^r)^{\infty} W_r, \cdots, W_r^*(Z_1^r)^{\infty} W_r, \cdots, W_r^*(Z_l^r)^{\infty} W_r)\| \\ &\geq \|\Psi_j(x_1, x_2 \cdots, y_1, y_2 \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l)\|_{\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}}. \end{aligned} \tag{5.39}$$

Combining (5.38) and (5.39), it follows that

$$\|\Psi\left(x_1, x_2 \cdots, y_1, y_2 \cdots, z_1, \cdots, z_l\right)\| = \lim_{r\to\infty} \|\Psi_j\left(X_1^r, X_2^r \cdots, Y_1^r, Y_2^r \cdots, Z_1^r, \cdots, Z_l^r\right)\|$$

for any $\Psi \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\left\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Y}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_l\right\rangle$. Then $\Phi$ is a unital injective $*$-homomorphism. It follows that $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra. ■

The following corollary is an easy consequence of Theorem 5.1.

**Corollary 5.1** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an MF algebra and $\mathcal{D}$ be a finite-dimensional C*-algebra. If there is a unital embedding $q : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{A}$ is an MF algebra with respect to the embedding $q$.*

Applying Theorems 5.1, we can obtain the following result.

**Proposition 5.2** *Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ be unital MF algebras and let $\mathcal{D}$ be the direct sum of $n$ copies of the set of all complex numbers, that is,*

$$\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}.$$

*Suppose $\psi_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{B}$ are unital embeddings. If $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{B}}$ can be extended to unital embeddings $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{A}$ and $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathcal{B}} : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{B}$ respectively, then $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra.*

**Proof.** Let

$$E_1 = 1 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0, E_2 = 0 \oplus 1 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0, \cdots, E_n = 0 \oplus 0 \oplus \cdots \oplus 0 \oplus 1$$

in $\mathcal{D}$. Then $\mathcal{D} = \mathbb{C}E_1 + \cdots + \mathbb{C}E_n$. Suppose C*-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ are generated by families

$$\{x_1, x_2 \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{A}}(E_1), \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{A}}(E_n)\}$$

of self-adjoint elements and

$$\{y_1, y_2 \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{B}}(E_1), \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{B}}(E_n)\}$$

of self-adjoint elements respectively. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ can be embedded as unital C*-subalgebras of $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$, respectively, for a sequence $\{k_m\}_{m=1}^{m}$ of integers with sequences

$$\{A_1^m, A_2^m, \cdots\}, \{C_1^m, \cdots, C_n^m\} \text{ and } \{B_1^m, B_2^m, \cdots\}, \{D_1^m, \cdots, D_n^m\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{k_m}^{s.a.}(\mathbb{C})$$

for each $k_m \in \{k_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ satisfying

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \|P(A_1^m, A_2^m \ldots, C_1^m, \cdots, C_n^m)\| = \|P(x_1, x_2 \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{A}}(E_1), \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{A}}(E_n))\|$$

for any $P \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}}\langle \mathbf{X}_1, \mathbf{X}_2 \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_n\rangle$, and

$$\lim_{m\to\infty} \|Q(B_1^m, B_2^m \ldots, D_1^m, \cdots, D_n^m)\| = \|Q(y_1, y_2 \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{B}}(E_1), \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{B}}(E_n))\|$$

for any $Q \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}} \langle \mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_1, \cdots, \mathbf{Z}_n \rangle$. Since the images of $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}(E_1), \cdots, \psi_{\mathcal{A}}(E_n)$ under the embedding from $\mathcal{A}$ to $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ are $[(C_1^m)], \cdots, [(C_n^m)]$ and $\psi_{\mathcal{A}}$ can be extended to a unital embedding $\widetilde{\psi}_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C}) \to \mathcal{A}$, it follows that there are partial isometries $[(V_1^m)], \cdots, [(V_n^m)]$ in $\prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $[(V_s^m)]^* [(V_s^m)] = [(C_1^m)]$ and $[(V_s^m)] [(V_s^m)]^* = [(C_s^m)]$ for each $s \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. By Lemma 5.3, we may assume that, $C_s^m \in \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ is a projection for each $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \{1, \cdots, n\}$. We may conclude further that, when $k_m$ is large enough, $V_s^m$ is a partial isometry such that $V_s^{m*} V_s^m = C_1^m$ and $V_s^m V_s^{m*} = C_s^m$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ by Lemma 5.3. So it follows that $C_1^m$ is equivalent to $C_s^m$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$ and $\sum\limits_{s=1}^{n} C_s^m = I$, $C_i^m C_j^m = 0$ for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$. Similarly, we can assume that $D_s^m$ is a projection in $\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ for $1 \leq s \leq n$. When $k_m$ is large enough, we conclude that $D_1^m$ is equivalent to $D_s^m$ for each $1 \leq s \leq n$, $\sum_{s=1}^{n} D_s^m = I$ and $D_i^m D_j^m = 0$ as $1 \leq i \neq j \leq n$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$. Hence, there exists an integer $K$ such that, for each $k_m > K$, there exists a unitary $U^m \in \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $U^m C_s^m U^{m*} = D_s^m$ for each $s \in \{1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ in $\mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$. It follows that there is a unitary $[(U^m)] \in \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$ satisfying $[(U^m)] [(C_i^m)] [(U^m)]^* = [D_i^m]$ for $1 \leq i \leq n$. Now we define embeddings

$$q_1 : \mathcal{A} \to \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$$

so that $q_1(x_i) = [(U^m)] [(A_i^m)] [(U^m)]^*$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $q_1(\psi_{\mathcal{A}}(z_j)) = \left[\left(D_j^m\right)\right]$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$ and

$$q_2 : \mathcal{B} \to \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})$$

so that $q_2(y_i) = [(B_i^m)]$ for $i \in \mathbb{N}$, $q_2(\psi_{\mathcal{B}}(z_i)) = \left[\left(D_j^m\right)\right]$ for$1 \leq j \leq n$. It is clear that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, hook, "\psi_{\mathcal{A}}"] \arrow[d, "\psi_{\mathcal{B}}"'] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "q_1"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, hook, "q_2"] & \prod_{m=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})/\sum \mathcal{M}_{k_m}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

So $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ is MF by Theorem 5.1. ■

## 5.2 $\mathcal{D}$ Is An Infinite-dimensional C*-algebra

In this subsection, we consider the case when $\mathcal{D}$ is an infinite-dimensional C*-algebra. More precisely, we consider the case when $\mathcal{D}$ can be written as a norm closure of the union of an increasing sequence of C*-algebras.

**Theorem 5.2** *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ are unital inclusions of unital separable C*-algebras and $\{\mathcal{D}_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ is an increasing sequence of unital C*-subalgebras of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\cup_{k \geq 1} \mathcal{D}_k$ is norm dense in $\mathcal{D}$. Let $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$ for $k \geq 1$ be* *the unital full free products of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with amalgamation over $\mathcal{D}$ and $\mathcal{D}_k$ for $k \geq 1$ respectively. If $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra for each $k \geq 1$, then $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra.*

**Proof.** Note that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are unital separable C$^*$-algebras. We might assume that $\{x_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, and $\{y_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ are families of generators of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively.

Assume that $\sigma : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ and $\sigma_k : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$ are natural unital embeddings from $\mathcal{A}$ into $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$, respectively, for each $k \geq 1$. Assume that $\rho : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ and $\rho_k : \mathcal{B} \to \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$ are natural unital embeddings from $\mathcal{B}$ into $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ and into $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$, respectively, for each $k \geq 1$.

Consider the unital C$^*$-algebra

$$\prod_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B} / \sum_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}.$$

From Corollary 3.4.3 in [3] and the fact that, for each $k \geq 1$, $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra, we know that every separable C$^*$-subalgebra of $\prod_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B} / \prod_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra. Let

$$a_n = [(\sigma_k(x_n))_k] \in \prod_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B} / \sum_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}, \qquad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}$$

and

$$b_n = [(\rho_k(y_n))_k] \in \prod_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B} / \sum_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}, \qquad \forall\, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Let $\mathcal{Q}$ be the unital C$^*$-subalgebra generated by $\{a_n, b_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\prod_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B} / \sum_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$. Thus $\mathcal{Q}$ is an MF algebra.

Next we shall show that there is a $*$-isomorphic from $\mathcal{Q}$ onto $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ by sending each $a_n$ to $\sigma(x_n)$ and $b_n$ to $\rho(y_n)$. This will induce that $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ is also an MF algebra. In order to obtain such $*$-isomorphism from $\mathcal{Q}$ onto $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$, it suffices to show that $\forall\, N \in \mathbb{N}$ *and* $\forall\, P \in \mathbb{C}\langle X_1, \ldots X_N, Y_1, \ldots Y_N\rangle$, *we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P(\sigma(x_1), \cdots, \sigma(x_N), \rho(y_1), \cdots, \rho(y_N))\|_{\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}} \\ = \;& \|P(a_1, \cdots, a_N, b_1, \cdots, b_N)\|_{\prod\limits_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B} / \sum \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}} \end{aligned} \tag{5.40}$$

By the definition of full amalgamated free product, we know, for each $k \geq 1$, there is a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$, which send $\sigma_k(x_n)$ to $\sigma(x_n)$ and $\rho_k(y_n)$ to $\rho(y_n)$ respectively, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \|P(\sigma(x_1), \cdots, \sigma(x_N), \rho(y_1), \cdots, \rho(y_N))\|_{\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}} \\ \leq \;& \|P(\sigma_k(x_1), \cdots, \sigma_k(x_N), \rho_k(y_1), \cdots, \rho_k(y_N))\|_{\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}} \quad \text{for all } k \geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

and, consequently,

$$\|P(\sigma(x_1), \cdots, \sigma(x_N), \rho(y_1), \cdots, \rho(y_N))\|_{\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}} \leq \|P(a_1, \cdots, a_N, b_1, \cdots, b_N)\|_{\prod\limits_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B} / \sum_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_k} \mathcal{B}} \tag{5.41}$$

We will show that $[(\sigma_k(z))_k] = [(\rho_k(z))_k]$ for every $z \in \mathcal{D}$. Suppose $z \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then there exist a positive integer $p$ and an element $z_p$ in $\mathcal{D}_p$ such that $\|z - z_p\| < \varepsilon$. Since $\{\mathcal{D}_k\}_k$ is an increasing sequence of C$^*$-algebras, we know that $z_p \in \mathcal{D}_k$ for $k \geq p$. It follows that $[(\sigma_k(z_p))_k] = [(\rho_k(z_p))_k]$. So,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|[(\sigma_k\,(z))_k] - [(\rho_k\,(z))_k]\|_{\prod\limits_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}_k}\mathcal{B}/\sum_{k\geq 1}\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}_k}\mathcal{B}} \\
= \;& \limsup_k \|\sigma_k\,(z) - \rho_k\,(z)\|_{\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}_k}} \\
\leq \;& \limsup_k (\|\sigma_k(z) - \sigma_k(z_p)\|_{\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}_k}\mathcal{B}} + \|\sigma_k(z_p) - \rho_k(z_p)\|_{\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}_k}\mathcal{B}} + \|\rho_k(z_p) - \rho_k(z)\|_{\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}_k}\mathcal{B}}) \\
\leq \;& 2\varepsilon \qquad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain that $[(\sigma_k(z))_k] = [(\rho_k(z))_k]$.

Now it follows from the definitions of full amalgamated free product and of the C$^*$-algebra $\mathcal{Q}$, together with the fact that $[(\sigma_k(z))_k] = [(\rho_k(z))_k]$ for every $z \in \mathcal{D}$, we know there is a $*$-homomorphism from $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ onto $\mathcal{Q}$, which maps each $\sigma(x_n), \rho(y_n)$ to $a_n, b_n$ respectively for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|P(\sigma(x_1), \cdots, \sigma(x_N), \rho(y_1), \cdots, \rho(y_N))\|_{\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}}\mathcal{B}} \\
\geq \;& \|P(a_1, \cdots, a_N, b_1, \cdots, b_N)\|_{\prod\limits_{k\geq 1} \mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}_k}\mathcal{B}/\sum_{k\geq 1}\mathcal{A}*_{\mathcal{D}_k}\mathcal{B}}
\end{aligned} \tag{5.42}$$

Now equation (1) follows easily from inequalities (5.41) and (1). This ends our proof. ■

Once we get the preceding theorem, we are ready to consider the case when $\mathcal{D}$ is an AF algebra. The following theorem is an analogous result to Theorem 5.1

**Theorem 5.3** *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}$ are unital inclusions of unital MF algebras where $\mathcal{D}$ is an AF algebra. Then the unital full free product $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ with amalgamation over $\mathcal{D}$ is an MF algebra if and only if there is a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that the following diagram*

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] & \prod\limits_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

*commutes. .*

**Proof.** If $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ is MF, there is a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that the following diagram commutes automatically. For another direction, suppose there is a sequence of positive integers $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ such that the following diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] & \prod\limits_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. Note that $\mathcal{D}$ is an AF algebra, therefore there is an increasing sequence of unital finite-dimensional C$^*$-subalgebra $\{\mathcal{D}_p\}_{p\geq 1}$ of $\mathcal{D}$ such that $\cup_p \mathcal{D}_p$ is norm dense in $\mathcal{D}$. By the choice of each $\mathcal{D}_p$, we know that the diagram, for each $p \geq 1$,

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D}_p \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] & \mathcal{D} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] \\
& \mathcal{B} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] & \prod\limits_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_k \mathcal{M}_{n_k}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. By Theorem 5.1, we obtain that $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}_p} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra for each $p \geq 1$. Now it follows from Theorem 5.2 that $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra. ■

Since every AF algebra has a faithful tracial state, we are able to consider the case when $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are all AF algebras and give a sufficient condition in terms of faithful tracial states.

**Theorem 5.4** *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} \supset \mathcal{D} \subset \mathcal{B}$ are unital inclusions of AF C$^*$-algebras. If there are faithful tracial states $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ respectively, such that*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(x), \qquad \forall\ x \in \mathcal{D},$$

*then $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra.*

**Proof.** Assume that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{A}$, $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ and $\{z_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ are families of generators in $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ respectively. Note that $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}$ and $\mathcal{D}$ are AF algebras. For each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, there are finite dimensional C$^*$-subalgebras $\mathcal{D}_N \subseteq \mathcal{D}$, $\mathcal{A}_N \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}_N \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\max_{1\geq n\leq N} \{dist(x_n, \mathcal{A}_N), dist(y_n, \mathcal{B}_N), dist(z_n, \mathcal{D}_N)\} \leq \frac{1}{N} \tag{5.43}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_N \supset \mathcal{D}_N \subset \mathcal{B}_N \tag{5.44}$$

Note that $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$, $\forall\ x \in \mathcal{D}$. From the argument in the proof of Theorem 4.2 [1], there are rational faithful tracial states on $\mathcal{A}_N$ and $\mathcal{B}_N$ such that their restrictions on $\mathcal{D}_N$ agree. This implies that there is a positive integer $k_N$ such that

$$\mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C}) \supseteq \mathcal{A}_N \supseteq \mathcal{D}_N \subseteq \mathcal{B}_N \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C}). \tag{5.45}$$

Combining 5.43, 5.44 and 5.45, we know that there is a sequence of positive integers $\{k_N\}_{N=1}^\infty$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{D} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] \\
\mathcal{B} \arrow[r, phantom, "\subseteq"] & \prod\limits_N \mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C}) / \sum_N \mathcal{M}_{k_N}(\mathbb{C})
\end{tikzcd}$$

commutes. By Theorem 5.3, we obtain that $\mathcal{A} *_{\mathcal{D}} \mathcal{B}$ is an MF algebra. ■

It is well-known that the tracial state on each UHF algebra is unique. Therefore we can restate Theorem 5.4 when $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ are both UHF algebras and $\mathcal{D}$ is an AF algebra. A necessary and sufficient condition can be given in this case.

**Corollary 5.2** *Suppose that* $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$ *are unital inclusions of C*-algebras where* $\mathcal{A}$, $\mathcal{B}$ *are UHF algebras and* $\mathcal{D}$ *is an AF algebra. Then* $\mathcal{A} \underset{\mathcal{D}}{*} \mathcal{B}$ *is an MF algebra if and only if*

$$\tau_{\mathcal{A}}(z) = \tau_{\mathcal{B}}(x) \ \text{ for each } z \in \mathcal{D},$$

*where* $\tau_{\mathcal{A}}$ *and* $\tau_{\mathcal{B}}$ *are faithful tracial states on* $\mathcal{A}$ *and* $\mathcal{B}$ *respectively.*

**Proof.** From the fact that every MF algebra has a tracial state and the tracial state on UHF algebra is unique and faithful, one direction of the proof is obvious. Another direction is followed by applying Theorem 5.4. ■

# References

[1] S. Armstrong, K. Dykema, R. Exel and H. Li, On embeddings of full amalgamated free product C*-algebras, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 2019-2030.

[2] D. Avitzour, Free Products of C*-algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 271, 1982, 423-435.

[3] B. Blackadar and E. Kirchberg, Generalized inductive limits of finite dimensional C*-algebras, Math. Ann. 307 (1997), 343-380.

[4] F. Boca, A note on full free product C*-algebras, lifting and quasidiagonality, Operator Theory, Operator Algebras and Related Topics (Proc. of the 16th Op.

[5] N. P. Brown and K. J. Dykema, Popa algebras in free group factors, J. reine angew. Math 573 (2004), 157-180.

[6] N.P. Brown, On quasidiagonal C*-algebras, Operator algebras and applications, 19–64, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 38, Math. Soc. Japan, Tokyo, 2004.

[7] Nathanial P. Brown and Narutaka Ozawa, Algebras and Finite-Dimensional Approximations, American Mathematical Society (March 12, 2008).

[8] M. Choi, The full C*-algebra of the free group on two generators, Pacific J. Math. 87 (1980), no. 1, 41–48.

[9] M.-D. Choi, Almost commuting matrices need not be nearly commuting, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 102 (1988) 528-533.

[10] K. Davidson, C*-algebras by Example, Amer Mathematical Society (June 1996).

[11] Benton L. Duncan, Exactness of universal free products of finite dimensional C*-algebras with amalgamation, arXiv:0901.2312.

[12] R. Exel and T. Loring, Finite-dimensional representations of free product C - algebras, Internat. J. Math. 3 (1992), no. 4, 469–476.

[13] L. Ge and D. Hadwin, ultrproducts of C*-algebras, Operator Theory: Advance and Application, Vol. 127, 305-326.

[14] U. Haagerup, S. Thorbj$\phi$rnsen, A new application of random matrices: $Ext(C^*_{red}(F_2))$ is not a group, Ann. of Math. (2) 162 (2005), no. 2, 711–775.

[15] D. Hadwin, Q. Li and J. Shen, Topological free entropy dimensions in Nuclear C*-algebras and in Full Free Products of Unital C*-algebras (to appear).

[16] D. Hadwin; J. Shen, Free orbit dimension of finite von Neumann algebras. J. Funct. Anal. 249 (2007), no. 1, 75–91.

[17] D. Hadwin; J. Shen, Topological free entropy dimension, Math arXiv: math.OA/0704.0667

[18] D. Hadwin; J. Shen, Topological free entropy dimension II, Math arXiv: math.OA/0708.0168.

[19] D. Hadwin; J. Shen, Some Examples of Blackadar and Kirchberg's MF Algebras, arXiv:0806.4712v4

[20] D. Hadwin; J. Shen, Reduced Free Products of Unital AH Algebras and Blackadar and Kirchberg's MF Algebras, arXiv:0812.0189v1.

[21] P. R. Halmos, Ten problems in Hilbert space, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 76 (1970), 887-933.

[22] R. Kadison, J. Ringrose, Fundamentals of the Operator Algebras (Academic, Orlando, FL), (1983, 1986)Vols. 1 and 2.

[23] H. Lin, Almost commuting selfadjoint matrices and applications, Operator algebras and their applications (Waterloo, ON, 1994/1995) 193–233, Fields Inst. Commun., 13, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1997.

[24] D. McDuff, Central sequences and the hyperfinite factor, Proc. London Math. Soc. (3) 21 1970 443–461.

[25] D. Voiculescu, Around quasidiagonal operators, Integr. Equ. and Op. Thy.17 (1993), 137 - 149.

[26] D. Voiculescu, The topological version of free entropy, Lett. Math. Phys. 62 (2002), no. 1, 71–82.

Junhao Shen
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Univerisity of New Hampshire
Durham, NH, 03824
Email: jog2@cisunix.unh.edu

Qihui Li
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Univerisity of New Hampshire
Durham, NH, 03824
Email: qme2@cisunix.unh.edu

and
Department of Mathematics
East China University of Science and Technology
Shanghai, China Meilong Road 130, 200237